

The Immunomodulatory Properties of Amniotic Cells: The Two Sides of the Coin

Marta Magatti¹, Elsa Vertua¹, Anna Cargnoni¹,
Antonietta Silini¹, and Ornella Parolini^{1,2}

Cell Transplantation
2018, Vol. 27(1) 31–44
© The Author(s) 2018
Reprints and permission:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/0963689717742819
journals.sagepub.com/home/ccl



Abstract

Among the many cell types useful in developing therapeutic treatments, human amniotic cells from placenta have been proposed as valid candidates. Both human amniotic epithelial and mesenchymal stromal cells, and the conditioned medium generated from their culture, exert multiple immunosuppressive activities. Indeed, they inhibit T and B cell proliferation, suppress inflammatory properties of monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, neutrophils, and natural killer cells, while promoting induction of cells with regulatory functions such as regulatory T cells and anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages. These properties have laid the foundation for their use for the treatment of inflammatory-based diseases, and encouraging results have been obtained in different preclinical disease models where exacerbated inflammation is present. Moreover, an immune-privileged status of amniotic cells has been often highlighted. However, even if long-term engraftment of amniotic cells has been reported into immunocompetent animals, only few cells survive after infusion. Furthermore, amniotic cells have been shown to be able to induce immune responses *in vivo* and, under specific culture conditions, they can stimulate T cell proliferation *in vitro*. Although immunosuppressive properties are a widely recognized characteristic of amniotic cells, immunogenic and stimulatory activities appear to be less reported, sporadic events. In order to improve therapeutic outcome, the mechanisms responsible for the suppressive versus stimulatory activity need to be carefully addressed. In this review, both the immunosuppressive and immunostimulatory activity of amniotic cells will be discussed.

Keywords

amniotic membrane, amniotic mesenchymal stromal cells, amniotic epithelial cells, immunosuppression, immunostimulation

Introduction

Mesenchymal Stromal Cells

Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs), first identified in bone marrow (BM-MSCs) as adherent cells that form colonies¹, were subsequently isolated from virtually all adult and perinatal tissues. MSCs are defined as tissue-culture plastic adherent cells capable of differentiating into osteoblasts, adipocytes, and chondroblasts *in vitro*. MSCs express cluster of differentiation (CD)73, CD90, and CD105, and lack the expression of CD11b, CD14, CD34, CD45, CD79 α , and human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-DR surface molecules². An intriguing property of MSCs is their broad immunomodulatory activity both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These immunomodulatory properties are usually referred as suppressive properties, and their ability to inhibit proliferation, inflammatory cytokine production, and functionality of different immune cell populations of the innate (monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, neutrophils, natural killer [NK] cells, mast cells), and adaptive (T and B cells) immunity, have been largely described^{3–5}. Therefore, due to their trophic and

immunomodulatory properties, MSCs have been successfully exploited in the preclinical (and clinical) treatment of inflammatory and immune-based disorders^{6,7}. However, different studies indicate that the majority of MSCs do not persist following infusion, are able to induce *in vivo* immune responses, and are immune rejected^{8–14}. Moreover, MSCs exposed to interferon γ (IFN- γ) *in vitro* can express significantly more major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and MHC class II than untreated MSCs and act as antigen-presenting cells^{15–17}. In addition, MSCs in specific culture

¹ Centro di Ricerca “E. Menni”, Fondazione Poliambulanza- Istituto Ospedaliero, Brescia, Italy

² Istituto di Anatomia Umana e Biologia Cellulare, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Facoltà di Medicina e Chirurgia, Rome, Italy

Submitted: March 13, 2017. Revised: May 4, 2017. Accepted: May 15, 2017.

Corresponding Author:

Marta Magatti, Centro di Ricerca “E. Menni”, Fondazione Poliambulanza Istituto Ospedaliero, Via Bissolati, 57, Brescia 25124, Italy.
Email: marta.magatti@poliambulanza.it



conditions can also stimulate an immune response inducing T cell proliferation^{18–21} and respond to Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands^{22–24}. In sum, together with immunosuppressive properties, increasing evidence suggests that MSCs are not intrinsically immune privileged and can possess immunostimulatory properties^{25,26}.

Amniotic Membrane-Derived Cells

Among the many cell types useful in developing therapeutic treatments, human placenta-derived cells have been proposed as valid candidates^{27,28}. Within placenta, human amniotic membrane (AM) is a fetal tissue that constitutes, together with the chorionic membrane, the amniotic sac that encloses the fetus during pregnancy. Human amniotic epithelial cells (hAECs) and human amniotic mesenchymal stromal cells (hAMSCs) are the 2 primary cell types that comprise the AM²⁹. Isolation protocols and phenotype markers have been extensively described for both hAECs and hAMSCs. After isolation, hAECs express different markers, including CD324 (E-cadherin), CD326 (epithelial cell adhesion molecule), CD73, CD166 (activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule), and stage-specific embryonic antigen (SSEA-4). hAECs do not express CD14 and CD45. On the other hand, hAMSCs express the classical MSCs markers CD90, CD44, CD73, and CD105 (endoglin)²⁹. After isolation, hAMSCs also include a subpopulation of macrophages positive for CD14, CD11b, and HLA-DR, which has been shown to decrease markedly during culture passages^{30,31}. *In vitro*, both hAECs and hAMSCs have been shown to differentiate toward mesodermal (osteogenic, chondrogenic, and adipogenic), ectodermal (neural), and endodermal (pancreatic) lineages²⁹.

In addition to their differentiation potential, amniotic cells downregulate inflammation, and both hAECs and hAMSCs have emerged as valid candidates for the potential use in inflammatory and immune-based disorders^{32–35}. As with BM-MSCs, amniotic cells also seem to exert their biological function through trophic mechanisms, including the secretion of cytokines and growth factors with antiapoptotic, proangiogenic, and immune-regulatory properties³⁶. However, as for BM-MSCs, some immunogenic and stimulatory activity has also been raised.

In this review, we will focus on the immunomodulatory properties of amniotic cells, discussing both their main immunosuppressive potential and their sporadically described immunostimulatory activity. Moreover, we will discuss some controversial results that remain to be clarified.

Immunosuppressive Properties of Amniotic Cells

In Vitro Immunosuppression

Multiple reports have provided evidence of the immunosuppressive properties of amniotic cells that could derive from

their role in maintaining fetomaternal tolerance during pregnancy. Different *in vitro* studies have shown that both hAECs^{37–39} and hAMSCs^{30,40–43}, or a mix of the 2 obtained from the total AM digestion^{44,45}, strongly suppress T lymphocyte proliferation in a dose-dependent manner. Inhibition was observed when T cell proliferation was induced by allogeneic stimuli *in vitro* (in mix lymphocyte cultures [MLCs])^{30,37,38,40,41,44–46}, T cell receptor cross-linking (anti-CD3/anti-CD28)^{30,41}, mitogens such as Concanavalin A^{37,39,43} and phytohemagglutinin^{38,40–42}, or by recall antigen³⁷. Interestingly, amniotic cells can also suppress the proliferation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) isolated from patients with rheumatoid arthritis⁴⁷. Some groups have reported that hAECs and hAMSCs contact with PBMCs is a prerequisite for immunosuppressive effects^{37,38}, whereas other groups have shown that inhibition occurs regardless of cell–cell contact^{30,40,41}. Moreover, the conditioned medium (CM) generated from the culture of amniotic cells has been shown to possess antiproliferative effects on lymphocytes^{30,43,48,49}, thus providing evidence of a paracrine-mediated immunosuppressive activity. Amniotic cells and their CM suppress the proliferation of both activated CD4 and CD8 T cells^{50,51} and reduce different T cell subsets and related cytokines, such as T helper (Th)1 (IFN- γ , tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), interleukin 1 β (IL-1 β), IL-12p70), Th2 (IL-5, IL-6, IL-13), Th9 (IL-9), and Th17 (IL-17A, IL-22)^{43,47,50–53}. Moreover, different inflammatory cytokines are shown to be suppressed by hAMSCs in PBMCs activated in MLCs, including IL-21, IL-12/IL-23p40, regulated on activation, normal T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES), interferon gamma-induced protein 10 (IP-10), monokine induced by gamma interferon (MIG), macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1 α , MIP-1 β , monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), and the soluble Fas-ligand (FAS-L) and soluble CD40-ligand (sCD40-L)⁴¹. On the other hand, amniotic cells and their CM possess the ability to promote the induction of regulatory T cells in MLCs^{47,50–52}, which could in turn significantly contribute to the suppressive activities exerted by amniotic-derived cells.

Besides T cells, amniotic cells were found to influence the activity of several other immune cells. Indeed, CM from hAECs culture was shown to induce murine B cell apoptosis and inhibit B cell proliferative responses to lipopolysaccharide (LPS)⁴⁸.

Moreover, hAECs and hAMSCs have been shown to inhibit the cytotoxicity of NK cells against K562 cells in a dose-dependent manner⁵⁴. Inhibition of NK cytotoxic activity was correlated with downmodulation of NK-activated receptors (NKp30, NKp44, NKp46, NKG2D, CD69) and was reversible since the reduced NK cytotoxicity was recovered by continuous culturing without amniotic cells. Together with cytotoxic activity, the release of pro-inflammatory IFN- γ by NK cells significantly decreased after amniotic cell coculture⁵⁴. Interestingly, amniotic cell immortalization does not alter the suppressive properties toward NK cells⁵⁴, as also observed toward T cells⁴².

Neutrophils have also been reported to be a target of amniotic cells. Indeed, CM from hAECs has been shown to inhibit the migration of murine neutrophils *in vitro*⁴⁸, while CM from AM accelerated apoptosis of neutrophils⁵⁵.

Finally, immunomodulation and paracrine effects have been observed toward antigen-presenting cells (APCs). Indeed, it was demonstrated that hAMSCs and hAECs act directly on monocytes decreasing the production of TNF- α and IL-6 cytokines induced by LPS stimulation⁵⁴. Moreover, amniotic cells and their CM have been shown to block differentiation and maturation of monocytes into dendritic cells (DCs)^{31,50,56–58} or into inflammatory M1 macrophages^{50,59}, switching monocyte differentiation toward macrophages with anti-inflammatory M2-like features. Indeed, macrophages generated in the presence of amniotic cells and their CM usually show reduced expression of costimulatory molecules CD40, CD80, CD86, and HLA-DR, and reduced secretion of different pro-inflammatory factors such as IL-12p70, TNF- α , CCL5/RANTES, CXCL10/IP-10, CXCL9/MIG, MIP-1 α . Moreover, these cells show increased production of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10^{58,59}, and the increase expression of the immunosuppressive molecules HLA-G was also reported in monocytes differentiated toward DCs in the presence of hAECs⁵⁶. As a consequence, these cells were shown to be poor inducers of allogeneic T cell proliferation and inflammatory Th1 cell generation, favoring the emergence of regulatory T cells^{31,59}. Additionally, phenotype, migration, and cytokine expression of murine macrophages have been affected by hAMSCs and CM from hAECs^{48,60–62}. Interestingly, activation of human microglia (the resident macrophages in the brain and spinal cord) has been described to be modulated by amniotic cells and their CM. In fact, the proliferation and TNF- α inflammatory cytokine production was suppressed in microglia cocultured with hAMSCs⁶³. In addition, hAMSCs or their CM promoted M2 microglial polarization in organotypic cortical brain slices exposed to ischemic injury by oxygen–glucose deprivation⁶⁴.

Overall, the ability of amniotic cells (both hAECs and hAMSCs), and their CM to dampen *in vitro* inflammatory conditions by suppressing the proliferation, inflammatory cytokine production, stimulatory, and cytotoxic activity of different immune cell subpopulations, and by inducing T cells and monocytes to acquire anti-inflammatory and regulatory functions, has been widely demonstrated.

In Vivo Immunosuppression

The ability of amniotic cells, and their CM, to downregulate inflammation offers significant therapeutic potential for treating inflammatory diseases. Indeed, amniotic cells and their CM have been successfully applied in different preclinical disease models where exacerbated inflammation occurs²⁸, such as lung fibrosis^{60,65–69}, liver fibrosis^{70–72}, wound healing^{73–76}, collagen-induced arthritis^{47,77}, inflammatory bowel disease⁴⁷, sepsis⁴⁷, colitis^{47,61}, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE, an animal model for

multiple sclerosis)^{47,78}, and traumatic brain injury (TBI)⁶⁴. In these models, the modulation of inflammation is thought to be a key element used by amniotic cells and their CM to trigger the restoration of tissue integrity, by dampening pro-inflammatory signals (cytokines and cells), and enhancing anti-inflammatory immune components (Tregs and M2-macrophages)⁷⁹. Indeed, beneficial effects were associated with reduced infiltration of inflammatory cells such as neutrophils, such as neutrophils, monocytes/macrophages, and/or T cells in the injured site^{47,60,61,65,70,77,78}. A reduction of inflammatory in of inflammatory microglia/macrophages has also been observed after hAECs infusion in fetal sheep brains after LPS-induced injury⁸⁰.

In addition to the reduced cell inflammatory infiltration, amniotic cell treatment was shown to be associated with decreased levels of different cytokines/factors that are linked to inflammation, such as MCP-1, TNF- α , IL-1, INF- γ , IL-6, TGF- β , platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)- α , and PDGF- β ^{47,61,67,70,81–84}. Moreover, splenocytes from hAECs-treated EAE mice produced less inflammatory Th1- (IFN- γ) and Th17- (IL-17) related cytokines and increased the number of Th2 (IL-5) cells, naive CD4+ T cells, and peripheral T regulatory cells^{78,85}. Similarly, amniotic cells significantly reduced the incidence and severity of collagen-induced arthritis by decreasing the development of autoreactive Th17 and Th1 cells in the lymph nodes⁴⁷. Moreover, these draining lymph node cells were reported to produce high levels of IL-10. In addition, treated mice induced peripheral generation of antigen-specific regulatory T cells with suppressive functions, able to prevent arthritis progression when transferred to mice with collagen-induced arthritis⁴⁷. Not only regulatory T cells but also anti-inflammatory/wound healing M2 macrophages, able to promote the switch from the inflammatory phase to the tissue-repair phase, were the predominant macrophages found in the lungs⁶⁰, in the liver⁷⁰, in the skin⁵⁹, and in tendon lesions⁸⁶, of the different animal models treated with amniotic cells or their CM.

In Vivo Cell Survival and Immune Tolerance

Long-term engraftment has been observed after xenogeneic and allogeneic amniotic cell transplantation into different immune-competent animals without the use of immune suppressants, including rabbits⁸⁷, mice^{71,88}, rats^{89–92}, guinea pigs⁹³, and bonnet monkeys⁹⁴. Additionally, human DNA was detected in several organs of newborn swine and rats after xenogeneic amniotic cell transplantation⁴⁵. Similarly, human DNA was observed in the mouse liver 6 months after hAECs transplantation⁹⁵. Moreover, the human⁹⁵ or rat⁹⁶ metabolic activity observed in the recipient liver, and the correction of the hepatic metabolic defect in a maple syrup urine disease model⁹⁷ observed after AEC transplantation, have suggested a long-term engraftment of viable cells with functional activity. Further, several clinical studies have proven that allogeneic transplantation of the AM, or cells derived thereof, does not induce acute immune rejection in

the absence of immunosuppressive treatment^{28,34}. hAMSCs and hAECs are usually described as poorly immunogenic. This feature is associated with the low or limited expression on their surface of HLA class II (HLA-DR) and costimulatory molecules responsible for T cell activation, such as B7-1 (CD80), B7-2 (CD86), B7-H2 (CD275 or inducible costimulator molecule ligand), and glucocorticoid-induced tumour necrosis factor receptor ligand^{32,35}. This low immunogenicity is thought to contribute to the survival of amniotic cells in the immune-competent animals. However, different studies have highlighted how amniotic cells may not actually be considered immune privileged but, on the contrary, can stimulate both an innate and adaptive immune response (see following sections). Thus, such immune tolerance seems to be mediated more by active amniotic immunosuppressive properties rather than by their true lack of immunogenicity, but this aspect remains to be clarified. Within immunosuppressive molecules, nonclassical HLA class Ib molecule HLA-G, B7-H3, programmed death ligands 1 (PD-L1) and PD-L2 have been largely supposed to be involved in amniotic cell tolerance. Indeed, hAMSCs and hAECs express HLA-G, and its expression and secretion increase after amniotic cell treatment with IFN- γ ^{37,41,52,98,99}. Further, immunohistochemical analyses have shown that hAECs express B7-H3 (CD276)¹⁰⁰. In addition, hAMSCs express PD-L1 and PD-L2^{41,63,101}, and IFN- γ treatment has been shown to increase their expression in hAMSCs⁴¹, and to induce them in hAECs, which do not constitutively express these molecules^{37,100}. These molecules appear to play a role in maintaining immunologic tolerance during pregnancy^{102–104}, consistently downregulate human T cell cytokine production and proliferation^{105,106}, and direct CD4-T cells toward an immunosuppressive phenotype^{104,107}. Moreover, HLA-G inhibits NK cell toxicity¹⁰⁸ and can lead to the generation of suppressive phagocytes¹⁰⁹. Several studies have associated the presence of HLA-G with induction of tolerance after allogeneic organ transplantation^{110–112}. Therefore, amniotic cell long-term engraftment observed into immunocompetent animals was often easily correlated with the expression of these tolerogenic molecules⁹⁹. However, there is no clear demonstration of the involvement of these molecules in the *in vitro* and *in vivo* immunosuppressive activities and *in vivo* survival of amniotic cells. Interestingly, hAMSCs have been found to be tolerated long term in the hearts of immunocompetent rats⁹². In this study, the authors observed that pretreatment of hAMSCs with IL-10 or progesterone markedly increased hAMSCs survival *in vivo*, and pretreatment with IL-10 increased the level of HLA-G expressed by hAMSCs. However, after transplantation, no membrane-binding isoform of HLA-G was detected in the surviving hAMSC-derived cardiomyocytes, and there was no correlation between continuous secretion of the soluble HLA-G in the sera and survival of hAMSC-derived cardiomyocytes. Thus, the authors speculated that HLA-G might play a role in the initial process of tolerance, while it might not play a major role in the

maintenance of tolerance⁹². Not only tolerogenic molecules, but the induction of regulatory T cells is also thought to be involved in tolerance. In line with this, forkhead box P3 (FOXP3)-positive regulatory T cells were reported to be constantly detected adjacent to the surviving hAMSC-derived cardiomyocytes and they were able to survive more than 4 wks in the infarcted rat hearts, suggesting that they could be involved in maintenance of tolerance⁹². Moreover, long-term graft tolerance in a mouse skin transplantation model induced by coinfusion of hAECs with limited numbers of donor unfractionated bone marrow cells was associated with deletion of donor-reactive T cells and expansion of regulatory T cells⁵².

Immunostimulatory Properties of Amniotic Cells

Expression of HLA- and Costimulatory Molecules

The immunostimulatory activity of a cell, that is the ability to induce a humoral and/or cell-mediated immune response, is usually referred to as its immunogenicity. Expression of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) and costimulatory molecules on the surface of APCs are the principal elements that govern T cell proliferation, differentiation, and fate^{113,114}. hAMSCs and hAECs constitutively express HLA-ABC^{31,37,41,89}, and the expression of HLA-DQ in hAECs, shown to increase during cell expansion, has also been reported¹¹⁵. Culture of hAECs in serum-free media has been shown to induce the expression of CD58¹¹⁵, the ligand of CD2, and the primary costimulatory molecules of CD28(-) CD8(+) T cells¹¹⁶. Moreover, INF- γ stimulation augments the expression of HLA-ABC and CD40 in both hAECs and hAMSCs and induces the expression of HLA-DR in hAMSCs⁴¹. In addition, the presence HLA-DR and CD86 was described in freshly isolated hAMSCs preparations³⁰, and in the stromal layer of cryopreserved AM⁸⁹. The expression of these immunogenic markers could confer antigen-presenting properties to hAMSCs and hAECs, and thus could be responsible for their stimulatory activities.

Expression of TLR Molecules

TLRs belong to pattern-recognition receptors and are crucial regulators of the innate immune system. TLR recognize a wide variety of pathogens (bacterial and viral products), as well as endogenous danger signals released after cell damage¹¹⁷. The effects of TLR ligands on MSCs immunoregulatory functions have been investigated, and different pro-inflammatory (MSC1) or anti-inflammatory (MSC2) MSCs phenotypes have been reported, depending on the TLR-ligand concentration, timing, and kinetics of activation^{24,118–121}. In the case of amniotic cells, transcripts for all TLR (TLR1–10) were detected in both hAECs¹²² and hAMSCs¹²³. hAECs also expressed functional TLR5, TLR2/6, and TLR4. Indeed, activation by TLR5 and TLR2/6

agonists induced the production of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-8. In contrast, TLR4 activation reduced hAECs viability and induced cell apoptosis¹²². Similarly, protein expression of TLR2, 4, and 6 was detected in cultured hAMSCs, and TLR2/6 ligand led to secretion of IL-4, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), IL-6, and IL-8¹²³. The expression of TLR supports the idea that amniotic cells are sensitive to foreign pathogens and could be activated by microbial compounds contributing to inflammatory responses. However, how TLR ligands influence immunomodulatory properties of amniotic cells, generating a pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory phenotype (as described for MSCs from other sources) needs to be further investigated.

In Vitro Immunostimulation

Amniotic cells have been shown to be unable to induce lymphocyte proliferation when cocultured with unstimulated allogenic PBMCs at high concentrations (PBMCs: amniotic cells ratio of 1:1)^{30,37,38}. Instead, low concentrations of hAECs and hAMSCs have been shown to stimulate PBMCs proliferation^{38,53}. Maximum lymphocyte response was observed at amniotic cell concentrations between 3.1% and 12.5%, whereas values at lower and higher cell concentrations approximated the unstimulated state of naive PBMCs³⁸. Amniotic cell concentration determined also the fate of T cells stimulated through anti-CD3/anti-CD28. Indeed, at high amniotic cell concentrations (T cell: amniotic cell ratios of 1:1 or 1:1.3), T cell proliferation was suppressed, but lower concentrations not only failed to inhibit T cell proliferation but strongly induced it³⁰. Moreover, hAMSCs were shown to induce the proliferation of purified T cells cultured with anti-CD3³⁰. Since stimulation with anti-CD3 is unable to induce proliferation of T cells unless APCs are also present, this reinforces the notion that hAMSCs could provide costimulatory signals and could act as APCs and activate immune responses.

In Vivo Immunostimulation

Different *in vivo* studies have pointed out the immunogenicity of amniotic cells. For example, in the clinical setting, repeated transplantation of AMs was shown to result in a localized immunologic reaction, such as hypopyon (a leukocytic exudate) that developed after the second and the third AM transplantation onto the ocular surface, suggesting that immunologic responses of the recipient to donor tissue may have been involved¹²⁴. Also, macrophage infiltration into the grafts have been reported when hAECs have been grafted into healthy human volunteers and patients with lysosomal storage diseases^{125,126}. Similar macrophage infiltration was observed after allogeneic AM transplantation in the cornea of healthy mice, confirming the induction of an innate immune reaction¹²⁷. In addition, in preclinical studies, a mild T cell infiltration was present in the limbal area 1 wk after transplantation of cryopreserved AM⁸⁹. Furthermore, hAECs

transplanted in healthy mice were reported to elicit a B cell immune response. Indeed, murine anti-hAECs antibodies were detected in the mice sera collected 2 wks after hAECs injection⁷⁰. Thus, these studies highlight how amniotic cells may not actually be immune privileged but how sometimes they can stimulate both innate and adaptive immune response.

Lack of In Vivo Cell Survival

Although the aforementioned studies describe long-term engraftment of amniotic cells in immune-competent hosts, only small number of cells engraft and are usually detected after allo- or xeno- transplantation^{91,127}. On one hand amniotic cells might not persist *in vivo* due to adverse conditions encountered during transplantation (eg, lack of attachment, nutrient deprivation, unfavorable level of oxygen, or pH), on the other hand, an active immunological process could be responsible for their loss after transplantation. Several groups reported that they were not able to detect amniotic cells injected into different immune-competent animals. For example, Murphy and colleagues⁸² did not detect hAECs transplanted in a mouse model of bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis, in any of the host tissues investigated, including lungs, brain, heart, spleen, liver, and kidneys, 7 and 14 d after cell administration. In addition, hAMSCs locally injected in the brain of a mouse model of TBI were not detected 5 wks after infusion, neither in the brain nor in the liver, lungs, or spleen⁶⁴. Similarly, in a rat model of penetrating ballistic-like brain injury, no surviving amniotic cells were identified anywhere in the brain, at any time point (1, 2, 3, and 4 wks) after injection into the sublingual vein or directly into the injury site. Of note, cells were detected after intracerebral ventrically administration¹²⁸, suggesting that the injection route, and thus the tissue microenvironments, provides favorable or inauspicious sites for the survival of transplanted cells. Among the mechanisms that could underline the rejection of transplanted cells, the complement system has been recently proposed as central component implicated in the rapid clearance of systemically circulating MSCs after infusion¹²⁹. Indeed, it was shown that MSCs activated complement in contact with the sera and were injured by the complement activation product membrane attack complex, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*¹²⁹. On the other hand, MSCs express the complement-regulatory proteins CD46 (membrane cofactor protein), CD55 (decay accelerating factor), and CD59 (protectin), and that upregulating CD55 levels in MSCs were demonstrated to help in reducing their cytotoxicity after infusion¹²⁹. Similar to BM-MSCs, hAMSCs and hAECs secrete the complement inhibitor factor H¹³⁰ and express the complement inhibitory proteins CD46, CD55, and CD59^{115,131–133}, and CD59 and CD55 were shown to protect the amniotic cells from lysis by human complement¹³³. Thus, the balance between these mechanisms of defense and the complement-activated environment could determine the survival or the complement-mediated lysis of transplanted cells.

Other Critical Aspects and Open Questions of Amniotic Cells

Heterogeneity of Amniotic Cell Preparations

The fetal membrane has areas with different structural characteristics, including a “zone of altered morphology”¹³⁴. Not only morphology, but also functional activity, such as mitochondrial activity, was reported to differ through the anatomical region (placental amnion and reflected amnion)¹³⁵. Moreover, the anatomical region and the type of delivery (labor vs. no labor) have a substantial impact on the transcriptional program. For example, HLA-G, TGF- β signaling proteins, and IL-1 β mRNA expression in reflected amnion was different than that in placental amnion¹³⁶. Thus, the area sampled to isolate amniotic cells should be relevant to identify and define for consistency and comparison with other studies and could explain some controversial results that have been reported. For example, in hAECs, the expression of HLA-ABC was described to be low or moderate for some authors^{88,137}, or at high level for others^{31,37,39,115,138}, indicating the phenotypic and functional heterogeneity of amniotic cell preparations^{101,139}. Moreover, the expression of CD40 is reported to be constitutively for some authors³⁹, or induced after INF- γ stimulation for others³⁷. Also, passage culture (and the expansion culture media) influence immunologic phenotype of hAECs and hAMSCs^{31,115}, reinforcing the notion of heterogeneity of amniotic cell preparations and how culture conditions (passage number, culture media, INF- γ activation) influence their immunologic phenotype.

The Inflammatory Microenvironment

Several studies indicate that BM-MSCs need to be “licensed” by inflammatory signaling to become fully immunosuppressive^{140–144}. For example, Ren et al. reported that BM-MSCs do not suppress IL-2-driven T cell proliferation. Such T cell blasts do not produce cytokines, thus highlighting the necessary of inflammatory cytokines to suppress T cell proliferation. INF- γ along with other inflammatory cytokines (TNF α , IL-1 α , or IL-1 β) were found to boost BM-MSCs suppressive functions¹⁴⁰. In line with these data, MSCs cultured in transwell, or their CM, did not exert suppressive effects if they were not exposed to INF- γ or to additional immune cells (monocytes)^{143,145,146}. In the case of amniotic cells, priming by inflammatory cytokines does not seem to be a prerequisite for their suppressive effects^{30,49}. However, Banas et al. observed that hAECs are unable to inhibit IL-2-preactivated T cell blast proliferation. The authors hypothesized that preactivated T cells, in contrast to naive or memory T cells, may be less prone to inhibitory effects of amniotic cells³⁷. In a different setting, preincubation of amniotic cells with inflammatory INF- γ was reported to enhance the anti-proliferative properties of hAMSCs toward stimulated PBMCs⁴¹ and even amplify inhibitory effects of hAECs toward maturation of monocyte-derived DCs³⁷. Not only INF- γ , but also IL-1 β , another inflammatory cytokine, was

described to induce the production of the immunosuppressive molecule prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) in amniotic cells¹⁴⁷. Moreover, the degree of inhibition induced by amniotic cells toward proliferating T cells has been reported to depend on the type of responder cells; in fact, hAMSCs showed a significantly enhanced capacity to suppress stimulated PBMCs rather than purified T cells⁴¹. Further, the type of stimulation (allogeneic stimulus, mitogens, or recall antigen) can influence the degree of T cell inhibition induced by hAECs³⁷. Since each stimulation method induces dissimilar activation status of T cells, and of the other immune cells present within PBMCs, it is likely that the diverse inflammatory microenvironment uniquely influences the suppressive capabilities of amniotic cells.

Cryopreservation

Cryopreservation of cells enables their long-term storage and, in prospect of their availability for a cell-treatment, MSCs and cell products are usually cryobanked. Preserved AM has been widely used in various clinical fields, including ophthalmology and wound care³⁴. In cryopreserved AM, variable amounts of amniotic cells have been shown to remain viable, to grow in culture, and to maintain some immune molecule expression^{89,148,149}. For example, they retained the expression of HLA-ABC, HLA-DR, CD45, although the degree of HLA-ABC signal intensity and the number of HLA-DR-positive cells were significantly reduced in cryopreserved compared to fresh AM¹⁴⁸. Thus, cryopreserved AM still induces a certain degree of immune reaction^{89,127}. Compared to nonpreserved AM, cryopreserved AM was shown to secrete low levels of different immune inflammatory factors, including IL-6, IL-8, INF- γ , leptin, MCP-1, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP)-1 and TIMP-2, and thrombopoietin¹⁵⁰. Thus, immunogenicity of cryopreserved AM seems to be inferior than that of fresh tissues, and this was associated with the low presence of viable cells in cryopreserved AM¹²⁷. However, when looking at the immunosuppressive potential of amniotic cells, both hAMSCs and hAECs have shown a significant reduction in the ability to inhibit T cell proliferation after cryopreservation³⁸. This effect was independent of HLA-class I/II levels, which were found unaltered by the freezing process³⁸. In sum, cryopreserved and nonpreserved AM and derived cells display different immunogenic and immunosuppressive properties that should be extensively addressed and considered for clinical application.

Expression of Hematopoietic Markers

hAECs and hAMSCs are usually described to be negative for CD45, CD34, or CD14, a trait that distinguishes them from hematopoietic cells^{40–43,137,138,151–156}. However, in freshly isolated hAMSCs preparations, there is subpopulation of cells (5%–15%) which have been shown to express the monocyte/macrophage markers CD45, CD14, and

CD11b^{30,31}. Moreover, a CD34-positive subpopulation, able to ameliorate liver fibrosis in mice with drug-induced liver injury, was identified, enriched, and characterized in AM⁷². In addition, the culture of hAECs in serum-free media induce the expression of different hematopoietic markers, including CD34 (the hematopoietic stem cell marker), CD77 (the germinal centre B cell marker, usually expressed on Epstein-Barr virus infected B cells), or CD108 (the glycosylphosphatidylinositol [GPI]-linked protein, expressed on erythrocytes, lymphocytes, lymphoblasts, and lymphoblastic cell lines)¹¹⁵. Expression of hematopoietic markers CD45, CD34, CD14 has been described also in amniotic fluid stem cells¹⁵⁷. Of note, amniotic fluid is heterogeneous in composition and cells contained in it, mostly of epithelial nature, could derived also from AM¹⁵⁸. In addition, Wharton's jelly MSCs may express monocyte-macrophage antigens CD68 and CD14^{159,160}. Whether the expression of these hematopoietic markers could represent a distinct cell group (of fetal origin) with hematopoietic potential has yet to be determined, as well as if this subpopulation is present only in perinatal cells (amniotic cells, amniotic fluid, and Wharton's jelly MSCs) or also in adult MSCs.

Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Amniotic cells and their CM possess broad immunosuppressive properties and have been proposed for the treatment of chronic inflammation and immune alterations. However, increasing experimental data indicate that amniotic cells, as BM-MSCs, also possess stimulatory ability, both in vitro and in vivo. It has been questioned whether MSCs innately perform immunoregulatory activities, but this is now unlikely, since their primary "mission" was very likely to generate bone, cartilage, and fat¹⁶¹. In the case of amniotic cells, due to the unique role of placental tissue in inducing fetal-maternal tolerance avoiding the immunological attack of the semiallogeneic fetus by the maternal immune system, immunomodulation is likely an intrinsic property. However, if on one hand, placental cells play the critical role in fetal-maternal tolerance, on the other hand they must be ready to respond and to induce immune activation against foreign pathogens (such as bacteria or virus). Therefore, a balance between immunosuppression and immunostimulation could exist in cells isolated from the AM of placenta (hAECs and hAMSCs), and this needs to be carefully addressed before their clinical use. Recognizing the existence of both suppressive and stimulatory properties and understanding the mechanisms that underline the duality of the immune reaction may help in the design of successful immunotherapeutic approaches that reach therapeutic benefit through the manipulation of the immune system. In multiple diseases, there is an exacerbation of inflammatory conditions that need to be dampened, but in other diseases, such as cancer, the stimulation of immune system has been proposed as an efficient therapeutic strategy¹⁶².

Immunogenicity of amniotic cells, like BM-MSCs, should not be ignored. In the case of AM transplantation, abstaining from repeated transplantation of AM from the same donor has been suggested to limit antidonor response¹²⁷. Within host immune reaction after AM or amniotic cell transplantation, the generation of antidonor antibodies has also been observed⁷⁰. Of note, a second infusion of amniotic cells did not lead to further increases in circulating antihuman donor antibodies⁷⁰. It still needs to be reported whether transplantation of amniotic cells induces the generation of the classical memory B and plasma cells or rather a different (eg, regulatory) B cell subpopulation¹⁶³.

Usually, the number of engrafted cells (amniotic cells as well as MSCs) is low. Increasing amniotic cell and MSCs survival and persistence could prolong their effect and avoid repeated administrations. In the case of MSCs, different strategies have been proposed to prolong their in vivo persistence, such as their encapsulation in alginate matrix¹⁶⁴, or genetic engineering to overexpress IL-13⁸, or other immunosuppressive factors (eg, PGE2, IDO, HLA-G, IL-10)^{165,166}. Moreover, increased expression of complement-inhibiting molecules, or of HLA-ABC (after INF- γ treatment), was proposed as mechanism to avoid complement- or NK-mediated cytotoxicity^{3,167}. However, beneficial effects were observed despite the absence of transplanted cells in injured tissue, thus the persistence of cells seems to be not required for a therapeutic effect. In the field of neurological injuries, a new interesting vision focusing on the response of the host niche to the cell graft was recently speculated¹⁶⁸. In this perspective, stromal cell grafting induces an inflammatory process that leads to hypoxia-mediated apoptotic death of grafted cells, neutrophil invasion, microglia and macrophage recruitment, astrocyte activation, and neo-angiogenesis within the stromal cell graft site. These immune remodeling processes, and not only the soluble factors secreted by grafted stromal cells, are of substantial importance to the regenerative processes¹⁶⁸.

In order to improve the successful application of MSCs in regenerative medicine, the necessity of the development of potency assays has been underlined^{169,170}. These assays consist of in vitro tests to predict the in vivo immunosuppressive activity of MSCs, and thus their therapeutic efficacy^{171,172}. Among these assays, it is fundamental to consider the immunogenicity of the cells to ensure that transplanted cells possess characteristics which will minimize, if not eliminate, any possibility of rejection. Moreover, donor variability and cell heterogeneity due to culture conditions, passage number, and cell treatment (eg, INF- γ activation) represent critical aspects that could influence immunologic phenotype of cells^{101,101} and therefore their therapeutic outcome.

A further understanding of amniotic cell and MSCs mechanisms of action, and specifically how they interact with the microenvironment, and balance immunosuppressive and immunostimulatory activities, will be crucial in improving and developing new clinical protocols for MSC-based cell therapy.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by Fondazione Poliambulanza-Istituto Ospedaliero, Brescia (Italy), Cariplo Foundation (Grant n.2012-0842), Fondazione della Comunità Bresciana Onlus (5° Bando 2015 Sostegno ai Giovani Ricercatori), and MIUR 5x1000 (2013, 2014).

References

- Friedenstein AJ, Petrakova KV, Kurolesova AI, Frolova GP. Heterotopic of bone marrow. Analysis of precursor cells for osteogenic and hematopoietic tissues. *Transplantation*. 1968; 6(2):230–247.
- Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, Slaper-Cortenbach I, Marini F, Krause D, Deans R, Keating A, Prockop D, Horwitz E. Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The international society for cellular therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy*. 2006;8(4):315–317.
- Le Blanc K, Davies LC. Mesenchymal stromal cells and the innate immune response. *Immunol Lett*. 2015;168(2):140–146.
- Uccelli A, Moretta L, Pistoia V. Mesenchymal stem cells in health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2008;8(9):726–736.
- Tolar J, Le Blanc K, Keating A, Blazar BR. Concise review: hitting the right spot with mesenchymal stromal cells. *Stem Cells*. 2010;28(8):1446–1455.
- Griffin MD, Elliman SJ, Cahill E, English K, Ceredig R, Ritter T. Concise review: adult mesenchymal stromal cell therapy for inflammatory diseases: how well are we joining the dots? *Stem Cells*. 2013;31(10):2033–2041.
- Bianco P, Cao X, Frenette PS, Mao JJ, Robey PG, Simmons PJ, Wang CY. The meaning, the sense and the significance: translating the science of mesenchymal stem cells into medicine. *Nat Med*. 2013;19(1):35–42.
- Hoornaert CJ, Luyckx E, Reekmans K, Dhainaut M, Guglielmetti C, Le Blon D, Dooley D, Franssen E, Daans J, Verbeeck L, et al. In vivo interleukin-13-primed macrophages contribute to reduced alloantigen-specific T cell activation and prolong immunological survival of allogeneic mesenchymal stem cell implants. *Stem Cells*. 2016;34(7):1971–1984.
- Eliopoulos N, Stagg J, Lejeune L, Pommey S, Galipeau J. Allogeneic marrow stromal cells are immune rejected by MHC class I- and class II-mismatched recipient mice. *Blood*. 2005; 106(13):4057–4065.
- Toma C, Wagner WR, Bowry S, Schwartz A, Villanueva F. Fate of culture-expanded mesenchymal stem cells in the microvasculature: in vivo observations of cell kinetics. *Circ Res*. 2009;104(3):398–402.
- Zangi L, Margalit R, Reich-Zeliger S, Bachar-Lustig E, Beilhack A, Negrin R, Reisner Y. Direct imaging of immune rejection and memory induction by allogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells. *Stem Cells*. 2009;27(11):2865–2874.
- Nauta AJ, Westerhuis G, Kruisselbrink AB, Lurvink EG, Willemze R, Fibbe WE. Donor-derived mesenchymal stem cells are immunogenic in an allogeneic host and stimulate donor graft rejection in a non-myeloablative setting. *Blood*. 2006; 108(6): 2114–2120.
- Badillo AT, Beggs KJ, Javazon EH, Tebbets JC, Flake AW. Murine bone marrow stromal progenitor cells elicit an in vivo cellular and humoral alloimmune response. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2007;13(4):412–422.
- Schu S, Nosov M, O'Flynn L, Shaw G, Treacy O, Barry F, Murphy M, O'Brien T, Ritter T. Immunogenicity of allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2012;16(9): 2094–2103.
- Stagg J, Pommey S, Eliopoulos N, Galipeau J. Interferon-gamma-stimulated marrow stromal cells: a new type of non-hematopoietic antigen-presenting cell. *Blood*. 2006;107(6): 2570–2577.
- Le Blanc K, Tammik C, Rosendahl K, Zetterberg E, Ringden O. HLA expression and immunologic properties of differentiated and undifferentiated mesenchymal stem cells. *Exp Hematol*. 2003;31(10):890–896.
- Romieu-Mourez R, Francois M, Boivin MN, Stagg J, Galipeau J. Regulation of MHC class II expression and antigen processing in murine and human mesenchymal stromal cells by IFN-gamma, TGF-beta, and cell density. *J Immunol*. 2007;179(3): 1549–1558.
- Fang L, Lange C, Engel M, Zander AR, Fehse B. Sensitive balance of suppressing and activating effects of mesenchymal stem cells on T cell proliferation. *Transplantation*. 2006; 82(10):1370–1373.
- Potnia JA, Aviv H, Ponzio NM, Harrison JS, Rameshwar P. Veto-like activity of mesenchymal stem cells: functional discrimination between cellular responses to alloantigens and recall antigens. *J Immunol*. 2003;171(7):3426–3434.
- Le Blanc K, Tammik L, Sundberg B, Haynesworth SE, Ringden O. Mesenchymal stem cells inhibit and stimulate mixed lymphocyte cultures and mitogenic responses independently of the major histocompatibility complex. *Scand J Immunol*. 2003; 57(1):11–20.
- Klyushnenkova E, Mosca JD, Zernetkina V, Majumdar MK, Beggs KJ, Simonetti DW, Deans RJ, McIntosh KR. T cell responses to allogeneic human mesenchymal stem cells: immunogenicity, tolerance, and suppression. *J Biomed Sci*. 2005;12(1):47–57.
- Pevsner-Fischer M, Morad V, Cohen-Sfady M, Rousso-Noori L, Zanin-Zhorov A, Cohen S, Cohen IR, Zipori D. Toll-like receptors and their ligands control mesenchymal stem cell functions. *Blood*. 2007;109(4):1422–1432.
- Weinstock A, Pevsner-Fischer M, Porat Z, Selitrennik M, Zipori D. Cultured mesenchymal stem cells stimulate an immune response by providing immune cells with toll-like receptor 2 ligand. *Stem Cell Rev*. 2015;11(6): 826–840.
- Romieu-Mourez R, Francois M, Boivin MN, Bouchentouf M, Spaner DE, Galipeau J. Cytokine modulation of TLR expression and activation in mesenchymal stromal cells leads to a

- proinflammatory phenotype. *J Immunol.* 2009;182(12):7963–7973.
25. Ankrum JA, Ong JF, Karp JM. Mesenchymal stem cells: immune evasive, not immune privileged. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2014;32(3):252–260.
 26. Griffin MD, Ryan AE, Alagesan S, Lohan P, Treacy O, Ritter T. Anti-donor immune responses elicited by allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells: what have we learned so far? *Immunol Cell Biol.* 2013;91(1):40–51.
 27. Parolini O, Soncini M. Human placenta: a source of progenitor/stem cells? *J Reprod Med Endocrinol.* 2006;3(2):117–126.
 28. Parolini O, Alviano F, Bergwerf I, Boraschi D, De Bari C, De Waele P, Dominici M, Evangelista M, Falk W, Hennerbichler S, et al. Toward cell therapy using placenta-derived cells: disease mechanisms, cell biology, preclinical studies, and regulatory aspects at the round table. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2010;19(2):143–154.
 29. Parolini O, Alviano F, Bagnara GP, Bilic G, Buhning HJ, Evangelista M, Hennerbichler S, Liu B, Magatti M, Mao N, et al. Concise review: isolation and characterization of cells from human term placenta: outcome of the first international workshop on placenta derived stem cells. *Stem Cells.* 2008;26(2):300–311.
 30. Magatti M, De Munari S, Vertua E, Gibelli L, Wengler GS, Parolini O. Human amnion mesenchyme harbors cells with allogeneic T cell suppression and stimulation capabilities. *Stem Cells.* 2008;26(1):182–192.
 31. Magatti M, Caruso M, De Munari S, Vertua E, De D, Manuelpillai U, Parolini O. Human amniotic membrane-derived mesenchymal and epithelial cells exert different effects on monocyte-derived dendritic cell differentiation and function. *Cell Transplant.* 2015;24(9):1733–1752.
 32. Insausti CL, Blanquer M, Garcia-Hernandez AM, Castellanos G, Moraleda JM. Amniotic membrane-derived stem cells: immunomodulatory properties and potential clinical application. *Stem Cells Cloning.* 2014;7:53–63.
 33. Parolini O, Caruso M. Review: Preclinical studies on placenta-derived cells and amniotic membrane: an update. *Placenta.* 2011;32(suppl 2):S186–S195.
 34. Silini AR, Cargnoni A, Magatti M, Pianta S, Parolini O. The long path of human placenta, and its derivatives, in regenerative medicine. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2015;3:162.
 35. Lindenmair A, Hatlapatka T, Kollwig G, Hennerbichler S, Gabriel C, Wolbank S, Redl H, Kasper C. Mesenchymal stem or stromal cells from amnion and umbilical cord tissue and their potential for clinical applications. *Cells.* 2012;1(4):1061–1088.
 36. Silini A, Parolini O, Huppertz B, Lang I. Soluble factors of amnion-derived cells in treatment of inflammatory and fibrotic pathologies. *Curr Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2013;8(1):6–14.
 37. Banas RA, Trumpower C, Bentlejewski C, Marshall V, Sing G, Zeevi A. Immunogenicity and immunomodulatory effects of amnion-derived multipotent progenitor cells. *Hum Immunol.* 2008;69(6):321–328.
 38. Wolbank S, Peterbauer A, Fahrner M, Hennerbichler S, van Griensven M, Stadler G, Redl H, Gabriel C. Dose-dependent immunomodulatory effect of human stem cells from amniotic membrane: a comparison with human mesenchymal stem cells from adipose tissue. *Tissue Eng.* 2007;13(6):1173–1183.
 39. Pratama G, Vaghjiani V, Tee JY, Liu YH, Chan J, Tan C, Murthi P, Gargett C, Manuelpillai U. Changes in culture expanded human amniotic epithelial cells: implications for potential therapeutic applications. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(11): e26136.
 40. Roelen DL, van der Mast BJ, in't Anker PS, Kleijburg C, Eikmans M, van Beelen E, de Groot-Swings GM, Fibbe WE, Kanhai HH, Scherjon SA and others. Differential immunomodulatory effects of fetal versus maternal multipotent stromal cells. *Hum Immunol.* 2009;70(1):16–23.
 41. Kronsteiner B, Wolbank S, Peterbauer A, Hackl C, Redl H, van Griensven M, Gabriel C. Human mesenchymal stem cells from adipose tissue and amnion influence T cells depending on stimulation method and presence of other immune cells. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2011;20(12):2115–2126.
 42. Wolbank S, Stadler G, Peterbauer A, Gillich A, Karbiener M, Streubel B, Wieser M, Katinger H, van Griensven M, Redl H, et al. Telomerase immortalized human amnion- and adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells: maintenance of differentiation and immunomodulatory characteristics. *Tissue Eng Part A.* 2009;15(7):1843–1854.
 43. Kang JW, Koo HC, Hwang SY, Kang SK, Ra JC, Lee MH, Park YH. Immunomodulatory effects of human amniotic membrane-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *J Vet Sci.* 2012;13(1):23–31.
 44. Ueta M, Kweon MN, Sano Y, Sotozono C, Yamada J, Koizumi N, Kiyono H, Kinoshita S. Immunosuppressive properties of human amniotic membrane for mixed lymphocyte reaction. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2002;129(3):464–470.
 45. Bailo M, Soncini M, Vertua E, Signoroni PB, Sanzone S, Lombardi G, Arienti D, Calamani F, Zatti D, Paul P, et al. Engraftment potential of human amnion and chorion cells derived from term placenta. *Transplantation.* 2004;78(10):1439–1448.
 46. Manochantr S, U-pratya Y, Kheolamai P, Rojphisana S, Chayosumrit M, Tantrawatpan C, Supokawej A, Issaragrisil S. Immunosuppressive properties of mesenchymal stromal cells derived from amnion, placenta, Wharton's jelly and umbilical cord. *Intern Med J.* 2013;43(4):430–439.
 47. Parolini O, Souza-Moreira L, O'Valle F, Magatti M, Hernandez-Cortes P, Gonzalez-Rey E, Delgado M. Therapeutic effect of human amniotic membrane-derived cells on experimental arthritis and other inflammatory disorders. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2014;66(2):327–339.
 48. Li H, Niederkorn JY, Neelam S, Mayhew E, Word RA, McCulley JP, Alizadeh H. Immunosuppressive factors secreted by human amniotic epithelial cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2005;46(3):900–907.
 49. Rossi D, Pianta S, Magatti M, Sedlmayr P, Parolini O. Characterization of the conditioned medium from amniotic membrane cells: prostaglandins as key effectors of its immunomodulatory activity. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(10): e46956.

50. Pianta S, Magatti M, Vertua E, Bonassi Signoroni P, Muradore I, Nuzzo AM, Rolfo A, Silini A, Quaglia F, Todros T, et al. Amniotic mesenchymal cells from pre-eclamptic placentae maintain immunomodulatory features as healthy controls. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2016;20(1):157–169.
51. Pianta S, Bonassi Signoroni P, Muradore I, Rodrigues MF, Rossi D, Silini A, Parolini O. Amniotic membrane mesenchymal cells-derived factors skew T cell polarization toward Treg and downregulate Th1 and Th17 cells subsets. *Stem Cell Rev*. 2015;11(3):394–407.
52. Anam K, Lazdun Y, Davis PM, Banas RA, Elster EA, Davis TA. Amnion-derived multipotent progenitor cells support allograft tolerance induction. *Am J Transplant*. 2013;13(6):1416–1428.
53. Karlsson H, Erkers T, Nava S, Ruhm S, Westgren M, Ringden O. Stromal cells from term fetal membrane are highly suppressive in allogeneic settings in vitro. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2012;167(3):543–555.
54. Li J, Koike-Soko C, Sugimoto J, Yoshida T, Okabe M, Nikaido T. Human amnion-derived stem cells have immunosuppressive properties on NK cells and monocytes. *Cell Transplant*. 2015;24(10):2065–2076.
55. Zhou S, Chen J, Feng J. The effects of amniotic membrane on polymorphonuclear cells. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2003;116(5):788–790.
56. Banas R, Miller C, Guzik L, Zeevi A. Amnion-derived multipotent progenitor cells inhibit blood monocyte differentiation into mature dendritic cells. *Cell Transplant*. 2014;23(9):1111–1125.
57. Magatti M, De Munari S, Vertua E, Nassauto C, Albertini A, Wengler GS, Parolini O. Amniotic mesenchymal tissue cells inhibit dendritic cell differentiation of peripheral blood and amnion resident monocytes. *Cell Transplant*. 2009;18(8):899–914.
58. Kronsteiner B, Peterbauer-Scherb A, Grillari-Voglauer R, Redl H, Gabriel C, van Griensven M, Wolbank S. Human mesenchymal stem cells and renal tubular epithelial cells differentially influence monocyte-derived dendritic cell differentiation and maturation. *Cell Immunol*. 2011;267(1):30–38.
59. Magatti M, Vertua E, De Munari S, Caro M, Caruso M, Silini A, Delgado M, Parolini O. Human amnion favours tissue repair by inducing the M1-to-M2 switch and enhancing M2 macrophage features. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2017;11(10):2895–2911.
60. Tan JL, Chan ST, Wallace EM, Lim R. Human amnion epithelial cells mediate lung repair by directly modulating macrophage recruitment and polarization. *Cell Transplant*. 2014;23(3):319–328.
61. Onishi R, Ohnishi S, Higashi R, Watari M, Yamahara K, Okubo N, Nakagawa K, Katsurada T, Suda G, Natsuzaka M, et al. Human amnion-derived mesenchymal stem cell transplantation ameliorates dextran sulfate sodium-induced severe colitis in rats. *Cell Transplant*. 2015;24(12):2601–2614.
62. Uberti MG, Lufkin AE, Pierpont YN, Ko F, Smith CA, Robson MC, Payne WG. Amnion-derived cellular cytokine solution promotes macrophage activity. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2011;66(5):575–580.
63. Wu W, Lan Q, Lu H, Xu J, Zhu A, Fang W, Ge F, Hui G. Human amnion mesenchymal cells negative co-stimulatory molecules PD-L1 expression and its capacity of modulating microglial activation of CNS. *Cell Biochem Biophys*. 2014;69(1):35–45.
64. Pischiutta F, Brunelli L, Romele P, Silini A, Sammali E, Paracchini L, Marchini S, Talamini L, Bigini P, Boncoraglio GB, et al. Protection of brain injury by amniotic mesenchymal stromal cell-secreted metabolites. *Crit Care Med*. 2016;44(11):e1118–e1131.
65. Murphy SV, Shiyun SC, Tan JL, Chan S, Jenkin G, Wallace EM, Lim R. Human amnion epithelial cells do not abrogate pulmonary fibrosis in mice with impaired macrophage function. *Cell Transplant*. 2012;21(7):1477–1492.
66. Cargnoni A, Gibelli L, Tosini A, Signoroni PB, Nassauto C, Arienti D, Lombardi G, Albertini A, Wengler GS, Parolini O. Transplantation of allogeneic and xenogeneic placenta-derived cells reduces bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis. *Cell Transplant*. 2009;18(4):405–422.
67. Cargnoni A, Piccinelli EC, Ressel L, Rossi D, Magatti M, Toschi I, Cesari V, Albertini M, Mazzola S, Parolini O. Conditioned medium from amniotic membrane-derived cells prevents lung fibrosis and preserves blood gas exchanges in bleomycin-injured mice-specificity of the effects and insights into possible mechanisms. *Cytotherapy*. 2014;16(1):17–32.
68. Cargnoni A, Ressel L, Rossi D, Poli A, Arienti D, Lombardi G, Parolini O. Conditioned medium from amniotic mesenchymal tissue cells reduces progression of bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis. *Cytotherapy*. 2012;14(2):153–161.
69. Carbone A, Castellani S, Favia M, Diana A, Paracchini V, Di Gioia S, Seia M, Casavola V, Colombo C, Conese M. Correction of defective CFTR/ENaC function and tightness of cystic fibrosis airway epithelium by amniotic mesenchymal stromal (stem) cells. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2014;18(8):1631–1643.
70. Manuelpillai U, Lourensz D, Vaghjiani V, Tchongue J, Lacey D, Tee JY, Murthi P, Chan J, Hodge A, Sievert W. Human amniotic epithelial cell transplantation induces markers of alternative macrophage activation and reduces established hepatic fibrosis. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(6):e38631.
71. Manuelpillai U, Tchongue J, Lourensz D, Vaghjiani V, Samuel CS, Liu A, Williams ED, Sievert W. Transplantation of human amnion epithelial cells reduces hepatic fibrosis in immunocompetent CCl₄-treated mice. *Cell Transplant*. 2010;19(9):1157–1168.
72. Lee PH, Tu CT, Hsiao CC, Tsai MS, Ho CM, Cheng NC, Hung TM, Shih DT. Antifibrotic activity of human placental amnion membrane-derived CD34⁺ mesenchymal stem/progenitor cell transplantation in mice with thioacetamide-induced liver injury. *Stem Cells Transl Med*. 2016;5(11):1473–1484.

73. Zhao B, Liu JQ, Zheng Z, Zhang J, Wang SY, Han SC, Zhou Q, Guan H, Li C, Su LL, et al. Human amniotic epithelial stem cells promote wound healing by facilitating migration and proliferation of keratinocytes via ERK, JNK and AKT signaling pathways. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2016;365(1):85–99.
74. Jin E, Kim TH, Han S, Kim SW. Amniotic epithelial cells promote wound healing in mice through high epithelialization and engraftment. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med.* 2016;10(7):613–622.
75. Tuca AC, Ertl J, Hingerl K, Pichlsberger M, Fuchs J, Wurzer P, Pfeiffer D, Bubalo V, Parvizi D, Kamolz LP, et al. Comparison of Matrigel and Matriderm as a carrier for human amnion-derived mesenchymal stem cells in wound healing. *Placenta.* 2016;48:99–103.
76. Kim SW, Zhang HZ, Guo L, Kim JM, Kim MH. Amniotic mesenchymal stem cells enhance wound healing in diabetic NOD/SCID mice through high angiogenic and engraftment capabilities. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(7): e41105.
77. Shu J, Pan L, Huang X, Wang P, Li H, He X, Cai Z. Transplantation of human amnion mesenchymal cells attenuates the disease development in rats with collagen-induced arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2015;33(4):484–90.
78. Liu YH, Vaghjiani V, Tee JY, To K, Cui P, Oh DY, Manuelpillai U, Toh BH, Chan J. Amniotic epithelial cells from the human placenta potently suppress a mouse model of multiple sclerosis. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(4): e35758.
79. Silini AR, Magatti M, Cargnoni A, Parolini O. Is immune modulation the mechanism underlying the beneficial effects of amniotic cells and their derivatives in regenerative medicine? *Cell Transplant.* 2017;26(4):531–539.
80. Yawno T, Schuilwerwe J, Moss TJ, Vosdoganes P, Westover AJ, Afandi E, Jenkin G, Wallace EM, Miller SL. Human amnion epithelial cells reduce fetal brain injury in response to intrauterine inflammation. *Dev Neurosci.* 2013;35(2–3):272–82.
81. Moodley Y, Vaghjiani V, Chan J, Baltic S, Ryan M, Tchongue J, Samuel CS, Murthi P, Parolini O, Manuelpillai U. Anti-inflammatory effects of adult stem cells in sustained lung injury: a comparative study. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(8):e69299.
82. Murphy S, Lim R, Dickinson H, Acharya R, Rosli S, Jenkin G, Wallace E. Human amnion epithelial cells prevent bleomycin-induced lung injury and preserve lung function. *Cell Transplant.* 2011;20(6):909–923.
83. Vosdoganes P, Hodges RJ, Lim R, Westover AJ, Acharya RY, Wallace EM, Moss TJ. Human amnion epithelial cells as a treatment for inflammation-induced fetal lung injury in sheep. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;205(2):156.e26–e33.
84. Wichayacoop T, Briksawan P, Tuntivanich P, Yibchok-Anun S. Anti-inflammatory effects of topical supernatant from human amniotic membrane cell culture on canine deep corneal ulcer after human amniotic membrane transplantation. *Vet Ophthalmol.* 2009;12(1):28–35.
85. McDonald CA, Payne NL, Sun G, Moussa L, Siatskas C, Lim R, Wallace EM, Jenkin G, Bernard CC. Immunosuppressive potential of human amnion epithelial cells in the treatment of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Neuroinflammation.* 2015;12:112.
86. Mauro A, Russo V, Di Marcantonio L, Berardinelli P, Martelli A, Muttini A, Mattioli M, Barboni B. M1 and M2 macrophage recruitment during tendon regeneration induced by amniotic epithelial cell allotransplantation in ovine. *Research in Veterinary Science.* 2016;105:92–102.
87. Avila M, Espana M, Moreno C, Pena C. Reconstruction of ocular surface with heterologous limbal epithelium and amniotic membrane in a rabbit model. *Cornea.* 2001;20(4):414–420.
88. Moodley Y, Ilancheran S, Samuel C, Vaghjiani V, Atienza D, Williams ED, Jenkin G, Wallace E, Trounson A, Manuelpillai U. Human amnion epithelial cell transplantation abrogates lung fibrosis and augments repair. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2010;182(5):643–651.
89. Kubo M, Sonoda Y, Muramatsu R, Usui M. Immunogenicity of human amniotic membrane in experimental xenotransplantation. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2001;42(7):1539–1546.
90. Wei JP, Nawata M, Wakitani S, Kametani K, Ota M, Toda A, Konishi I, Ebara S, Nikaïdo T. Human amniotic mesenchymal cells differentiate into chondrocytes. *Cloning Stem Cells.* 2009;11(1):19–26.
91. Zhao P, Ise H, Hongo M, Ota M, Konishi I, Nikaïdo T. Human amniotic mesenchymal cells have some characteristics of cardiomyocytes. *Transplantation.* 2005;79(5):528–535.
92. Tsuji H, Miyoshi S, Ikegami Y, Hida N, Asada H, Togashi I, Suzuki J, Satake M, Nakamizo H, Tanaka M, et al. Xenografted human amniotic membrane-derived mesenchymal stem cells are immunologically tolerated and transdifferentiated into cardiomyocytes. *Circ Res.* 2010;106(10):1613–1623.
93. Yuge I, Takumi Y, Koyabu K, Hashimoto S, Takashima S, Fukuyama T, Nikaïdo T, Usami S. Transplanted human amniotic epithelial cells express connexin 26 and Na-K-adenosine triphosphatase in the inner ear. *Transplantation.* 2004;77(9):1452–1454.
94. Sankar V, Muthusamy R. Role of human amniotic epithelial cell transplantation in spinal cord injury repair research. *Neuroscience.* 2003;118(1):11–17.
95. Marongiu F, Gramignoli R, Dorko K, Miki T, Ranade AR, Paola Serra M, Doratiotto S, Sini M, Sharma S, Mitamura K, et al. Hepatic differentiation of amniotic epithelial cells. *Hepatology.* 2011;53(5):1719–1729.
96. Marongiu M, Serra MP, Contini A, Sini M, Strom SC, Laconi E, Marongiu F. Rat-derived amniotic epithelial cells differentiate into mature hepatocytes in vivo with no evidence of cell fusion. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2015;24(12):1429–1435.
97. Skvorak KJ, Dorko K, Marongiu F, Tahan V, Hansel MC, Gramignoli R, Gibson KM, Strom SC. Placental stem cell correction of murine intermediate maple syrup urine disease. *Hepatology.* 2013;57(3):1017–1023.
98. Lefebvre S, Adrian F, Moreau P, Gourand L, Dausset J, Berrih-Aknin S, Carosella ED, Paul P. Modulation of HLA-G expression in human thymic and amniotic epithelial cells. *Hum Immunol.* 2000;61(11):1095–101.

99. Strom SC, Gramignoli R. Human amnion epithelial cells expressing HLA-G as novel cell-based treatment for liver disease. *Hum Immunol.* 2016;77(9):734–739.
100. Petroff MG, Perchellet A. B7 family molecules as regulators of the maternal immune system in pregnancy. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2010;63(6):506–519.
101. Peltzer J, Montespan F, Thepenier C, Boutin L, Uzan G, Rouas-Freiss N, Lataillade JJ. Heterogeneous functions of perinatal mesenchymal stromal cells require a preselection before their banking for clinical use. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2015;24(3):329–344.
102. Petroff MG. Immune interactions at the maternal-fetal interface. *J Reprod Immunol.* 2005;68(1–2):1–13.
103. Gregori S, Amodio G, Quattrone F, Panina-Bordignon P. HLA-G orchestrates the early interaction of human trophoblasts with the maternal niche. *Front Immunol.* 2015;6:128.
104. Murakami N, Riella LV. Co-inhibitory pathways and their importance in immune regulation. *Transplantation.* 2014;98(1):3–14.
105. Kanai T, Fujii T, Kozuma S, Yamashita T, Miki A, Kikuchi A, Taketani Y. Soluble HLA-G influences the release of cytokines from allogeneic peripheral blood mononuclear cells in culture. *Mol Hum Reprod.* 2001;7(2):195–200.
106. Leitner J, Klauser C, Pickl WF, Stockl J, Majdic O, Bardet AF, Kreil DP, Dong C, Yamazaki T, Zlabinger G, et al. B7-H3 is a potent inhibitor of human T cell activation: no evidence for B7-H3 and TREM2 interaction. *Eur J Immunol.* 2009;39(7):1754–1764.
107. LeMaoult J, Krawice-Radanne I, Dausset J, Carosella ED. HLA-G1-expressing antigen-presenting cells induce immunosuppressive CD4+ T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004;101(18):7064–7069.
108. Khalil-Daher I, Riteau B, Menier C, Sedlik C, Paul P, Dausset J, Carosella ED, Rouas-Freiss N. Role of HLA-G versus HLA-E on NK function: HLA-G is able to inhibit NK cytotoxicity by itself. *J Reprod Immunol.* 1999;43(2):175–182.
109. McIntire RH, Morales PJ, Petroff MG, Colonna M, Hunt JS. Recombinant HLA-G5 and -G6 drive U937 myelomonocytic cell production of TGF-beta1. *J Leukoc Biol.* 2004;76(6):1220–1228.
110. Le Rond S, Le Maoult J, Creput C, Menier C, Deschamps M, Le Fric G, Amiot L, Durrbach A, Dausset J, Carosella ED, et al. Alloreactive CD4+ and CD8+ T cells express the immunotolerant HLA-G molecule in mixed lymphocyte reactions: in vivo implications in transplanted patients. *Eur J Immunol.* 2004;34(3):649–660.
111. Le Rond S, Azema C, Krawice-Radanne I, Durrbach A, Guettier C, Carosella ED, Rouas-Freiss N. Evidence to support the role of HLA-G5 in allograft acceptance through induction of immunosuppressive/ regulatory T cells. *J Immunol.* 2006;176(5):3266–3276.
112. Lila N, Carpentier A, Amrein C, Khalil-Daher I, Dausset J, Carosella ED. Implication of HLA-G molecule in heart-graft acceptance. *Lancet.* 2000;355(9221):2138.
113. Bretscher PA. A two-step, two-signal model for the primary activation of precursor helper T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1999;96(1):185–190.
114. Li XC, Rothstein DM, Sayegh MH. Costimulatory pathways in transplantation: challenges and new developments. *Immunol Rev.* 2009;229(1):271–93.
115. Murphy S, Rosli S, Acharya R, Mathias L, Lim R, Wallace E, Jenkin G. Amnion epithelial cell isolation and characterization for clinical use. *Curr Protoc Stem Cell Biol.* 2010;Chapter 1: Unit 1E 6.
116. Leitner J, Herndler-Brandstetter D, Zlabinger GJ, Grubeck-Loebenstern B, Steinberger P. CD58/CD2 is the primary costimulatory pathway in human CD28-CD8+ T cells. *J Immunol.* 2015;195(2):477–487.
117. Takeda K, Kaisho T, Akira S. Toll-like receptors. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2003;21:335–376.
118. Raicevic G, Najjar M, Stamatoopoulos B, De Bruyn C, Meuleman N, Bron D, Toungouz M, Lagneaux L. The source of human mesenchymal stromal cells influences their TLR profile as well as their functional properties. *Cell Immunol.* 2011;270(2):207–216.
119. Waterman RS, Tomchuck SL, Henkle SL, Betancourt AM. A new mesenchymal stem cell (MSCs) paradigm: polarization into a pro-inflammatory MSC1 or an immunosuppressive MSC2 phenotype. *PLoS One.* 2010;5(4):e10088.
120. Liotta F, Angeli R, Cosmi L, Fili L, Manuelli C, Frosali F, Mazzinghi B, Maggi L, Pasini A, Lisi V, et al. Toll-like receptors 3 and 4 are expressed by human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells and can inhibit their T cell modulatory activity by impairing Notch signaling. *Stem Cells.* 2008;26(1):279–289.
121. Opitz CA, Litzemberger UM, Lutz C, Lanz TV, Tritschler I, Koppel A, Tolosa E, Hoberg M, Anderl J, Aicher WK, et al. Toll-like receptor engagement enhances the immunosuppressive properties of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells by inducing indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase-1 via interferon-beta and protein kinase R. *Stem Cells.* 2009;27(4):909–919.
122. Gillaux C, Mehats C, Vaiman D, Cabrol D, Breuille-Fouche M. Functional screening of TLRs in human amniotic epithelial cells. *J Immunol.* 2011;187(5):2766–2774.
123. Sato BL, Collier ES, Vermudez SA, Junker AD, Kendal-Wright CE. Human amnion mesenchymal cells are pro-inflammatory when activated by the Toll-like receptor 2/6 ligand, macrophage-activating lipoprotein-2. *Placenta.* 2016;44:69–79.
124. Gabler B, Lohmann CP. Hypopyon after repeated transplantation of human amniotic membrane onto the corneal surface. *Ophthalmology.* 2000;107(7):1344–1346.
125. Sakuragawa N, Yoshikawa H, Sasaki M. Amniotic tissue transplantation: clinical and biochemical evaluations for some lysosomal storage diseases. *Brain Dev.* 1992;14(1):7–11.
126. Akle CA, Adinolfi M, Welsh KI, Leibowitz S, McColl I. Immunogenicity of human amniotic epithelial cells after transplantation into volunteers. *Lancet.* 1981;2(8254):1003–1005.
127. Hori J, Wang M, Kamiya K, Takahashi H, Sakuragawa N. Immunological characteristics of amniotic epithelium. *Cornea.* 2006;25(10 suppl 1):S53–S58.

128. Chen Z, Tortella FC, Dave JR, Marshall VS, Clarke DL, Sing G, Du F, Lu XC. Human amnion-derived multipotent progenitor cell treatment alleviates traumatic brain injury-induced axonal degeneration. *J Neurotrauma*. 2009;26(11):1987–1997.
129. Li Y, Lin F. Mesenchymal stem cells are injured by complement after their contact with serum. *Blood*. 2012;120(17):3436–3443.
130. Katz Y, Gur S, Aladjem M, Strunk RC. Synthesis of complement proteins in amnion. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1995;80(7):2027–2032.
131. Han K, Lee JE, Kwon SJ, Park SY, Shim SH, Kim H, Moon JH, Suh CS, Lim HJ. Human amnion-derived mesenchymal stem cells are a potential source for uterine stem cell therapy. *Cell Prolif*. 2008;41(5):709–725.
132. Rooney IA, Morgan BP. Characterization of the membrane attack complex inhibitory protein CD59 antigen on human amniotic cells and in amniotic fluid. *Immunology*. 1992;76(4):541–547.
133. Rooney IA, Morgan BP. Protection of human amniotic epithelial cells (HAEC) from complement-mediated lysis: expression on the cells of three complement inhibitory membrane proteins. *Immunology*. 1990;71(3):308–311.
134. Malak TM, Bell SC. Structural characteristics of term human fetal membranes: a novel zone of extreme morphological alteration within the rupture site. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1994;101(5):375–386.
135. Banerjee A, Weidinger A, Hofer M, Steinborn R, Lindenmair A, Hennerbichler-Lugscheider S, Eibl J, Redl H, Kozlov AV, Wolbank S. Different metabolic activity in placental and reflected regions of the human amniotic membrane. *Placenta*. 2015;36(11):1329–1332.
136. Han YM, Romero R, Kim JS, Tarca AL, Kim SK, Draghici S, Kusanovic JP, Gotsch F, Mittal P, Hassan SS, et al. Region-specific gene expression profiling: novel evidence for biological heterogeneity of the human amnion. *Biol Reprod*. 2008;79(5):954–961.
137. Portmann-Lanz CB, Schoeberlein A, Huber A, Sager R, Malek A, Holzgreve W, Surbek DV. Placental mesenchymal stem cells as potential autologous graft for pre- and perinatal neuroregeneration. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;194(3):664–673.
138. Bilic G, Zeisberger SM, Mallik AS, Zimmermann R, Zisch AH. Comparative characterization of cultured human term amnion epithelial and mesenchymal stromal cells for application in cell therapy. *Cell Transplant*. 2008;17(8):955–968.
139. Sivasubramaniyan K, Lehnen D, Ghazanfari R, Sobiesiak M, Harichandan A, Mortha E, Petkova N, Grimm S, Cerabona F, de Zwart P, et al. Phenotypic and functional heterogeneity of human bone marrow- and amnion-derived MSCs subsets. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2012;1266:94–106.
140. Ren G, Zhang L, Zhao X, Xu G, Zhang Y, Roberts AI, Zhao RC, Shi Y. Mesenchymal stem cell-mediated immunosuppression occurs via concerted action of chemokines and nitric oxide. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2008;2(2):141–150.
141. Sheng H, Wang Y, Jin Y, Zhang Q, Zhang Y, Wang L, Shen B, Yin S, Liu W, Cui L, et al. A critical role of IFN γ in priming MSC-mediated suppression of T cell proliferation through up-regulation of B7-H1. *Cell Res*. 2008;18(8):846–857.
142. Mougiarakos D, Jitschin R, Johansson CC, Okita R, Kiessling R, Le Blanc K. The impact of inflammatory licensing on heme oxygenase-1-mediated induction of regulatory T cells by human mesenchymal stem cells. *Blood*. 2011;117(18):4826–4835.
143. Krampera M, Cosmi L, Angeli R, Pasini A, Liotta F, Andreini A, Santarlasci V, Mazzinghi B, Pizzolo G, Vinante F, et al. Role of interferon- γ in the immunomodulatory activity of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells*. 2006;24(2):386–398.
144. Shi Y, Su J, Roberts AI, Shou P, Rabson AB, Ren G. How mesenchymal stem cells interact with tissue immune responses. *Trends Immunol*. 2012;33(3):136–143.
145. Krampera M, Glennie S, Dyson J, Scott D, Laylor R, Simpson E, Dazzi F. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells inhibit the response of naive and memory antigen-specific T cells to their cognate peptide. *Blood*. 2003;101(9):3722–3729.
146. Groh ME, Maitra B, Szekeley E, Koc ON. Human mesenchymal stem cells require monocyte-mediated activation to suppress alloreactive T cells. *Exp Hematol*. 2005;33(8):928–934.
147. Mitchell MD, Edwin SS, Lundin-Schiller S, Silver RM, Smotkin D, Trautman MS. Mechanism of interleukin-1 beta stimulation of human amnion prostaglandin biosynthesis: mediation via a novel inducible cyclooxygenase. *Placenta*. 1993;14(6):615–625.
148. Ricci E, Vanosi G, Lindenmair A, Hennerbichler S, Peterbauer-Scherb A, Wolbank S, Cargnoni A, Signoroni PB, Campagnol M, Gabriel C, et al. Anti-fibrotic effects of fresh and cryopreserved human amniotic membrane in a rat liver fibrosis model. *Cell Tissue Bank*. 2013;14(3):475–488.
149. Hennerbichler S, Reichl B, Pleiner D, Gabriel C, Eibl J, Redl H. The influence of various storage conditions on cell viability in amniotic membrane. *Cell and Tissue Banking*. 2007;8(1):1–8.
150. Wolbank S, Hildner F, Redl H, van Griensven M, Gabriel C, Hennerbichler S. Impact of human amniotic membrane preparation on release of angiogenic factors. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2009;3(8):651–654.
151. Diaz-Prado S, Muinos-Lopez E, Hermida-Gomez T, Rendal-Vazquez ME, Fuentes-Boquete I, de Toro FJ, Blanco FJ. Multilineage differentiation potential of cells isolated from the human amniotic membrane. *J Cell Biochem*. 2010;111(4):846–857.
152. Jaramillo-Ferrada PA, Wolvetang EJ, Cooper-White JJ. Differential mesengenic potential and expression of stem cell-fate modulators in mesenchymal stromal cells from human-term placenta and bone marrow. *J Cell Physiol*. 2012;227(9):3234–3242.
153. Roda B, Reschiglian P, Zattoni A, Alviano F, Lanzoni G, Costa R, Di Carlo A, Marchionni C, Franchina M, Bonsi L,

- et al. A tag-less method of sorting stem cells from clinical specimens and separating mesenchymal from epithelial progenitor cells. *Cytometry B Clin Cytom.* 2009;76(4):285–290.
154. Stadler G, Hennerbichler S, Lindenmair A, Peterbauer A, Hofer K, van Griensven M, Gabriel C, Redl H, Wolbank S. Phenotypic shift of human amniotic epithelial cells in culture is associated with reduced osteogenic differentiation in vitro. *Cytotherapy.* 2008;10(7):743–752.
 155. Wegmeyer H, Broske AM, Leddin M, Kuentzer K, Nisslbeck AK, Hupfeld J, Wiechmann K, Kuhlen J, von Schwerin C, Stein C, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cell characteristics vary depending on their origin. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2013;22(19):2606–2618.
 156. Alviano F, Fossati V, Marchionni C, Arpinati M, Bonsi L, Franchina M, Lanzoni G, Cantoni S, Cavallini C, Bianchi F, et al. Term amniotic membrane is a high throughput source for multipotent mesenchymal stem cells with the ability to differentiate into endothelial cells in vitro. *BMC Dev Biol.* 2007;7:11.
 157. Loukogeorgakis SP, De Coppi P. Amniotic fluid stem cells: the known, the unknown and potential regenerative medicine applications. *Stem Cells.* 2017;35(7):1663–1673.
 158. Pappa KI, Anagnou NP. Novel sources of fetal stem cells: where do they fit on the developmental continuum? *Regen Med.* 2009;4(3):423–433.
 159. La Rocca G, Corrao S, Lo Iacono M, Corsello T, Farina F, Anzalone R. Novel immunomodulatory markers expressed by human WJ-MSC: an updated review in regenerative and reparative medicine. *Open Tissue Eng Regen Med J.* 2012;5:50–8.
 160. La Rocca G, Anzalone R, Farina F. The expression of CD68 in human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells: new evidences of presence in non-myeloid cell types. *Scand J Immunol.* 2009;70(2):161–162.
 161. Moretta L, Uccelli A, Pistoia V. Mesenchymal stromal cells and immunity: introductory overview. *Immunol Lett.* 2015;168(2):127–128.
 162. Ichim CV. Revisiting immunosurveillance and immunostimulation: implications for cancer immunotherapy. *J Transl Med.* 2005;3(1):8.
 163. Rosser EC, Mauri C. Regulatory B cells: origin, phenotype, and function. *Immunity.* 2015;42(4):607–612.
 164. Zanutti L, Sarukhan A, Dander E, Castor M, Cibella J, Soldani C, Trovato AE, Ploia C, Luca G, Calvitti M, et al. Encapsulated mesenchymal stem cells for in vivo immunomodulation. *Leukemia.* 2013;27(2):500–513.
 165. Levy O, Zhao W, Mortensen LJ, Leblanc S, Tsang K, Fu M, Phillips JA, Sagar V, Anandakumaran P, Ngai J, et al. mRNA-engineered mesenchymal stem cells for targeted delivery of interleukin-10 to sites of inflammation. *Blood.* 2013;122(14):e23–e32.
 166. Ankrum JA, Dastidar RG, Ong JF, Levy O, Karp JM. Performance-enhanced mesenchymal stem cells via intracellular delivery of steroids. *Sci Rep.* 2014;4:4645.
 167. Noone C, Kihm A, English K, O'Dea S, Mahon BP. IFN-gamma stimulated human umbilical-tissue-derived cells potently suppress NK activation and resist NK-mediated cytotoxicity in vitro. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2013;22(22):3003–3014.
 168. Le Blon D, Hoornaert C, Detrez JR, Bevers S, Daans J, Goossens H, De Vos WH, Berneman Z, Ponsaerts P. Immune remodelling of stromal cell grafts in the central nervous system: therapeutic inflammation or (harmless) side-effect? *J Tissue Eng Regen Med.* 2017;11(10):2846–2852.
 169. Galipeau J, Krampera M, Barrett J, Dazzi F, Deans RJ, DeBruin J, Dominici M, Fibbe WE, Gee AP, Gimble JM, et al. International Society for Cellular Therapy perspective on immune functional assays for mesenchymal stromal cells as potency release criterion for advanced phase clinical trials. *Cytotherapy.* 2016;18(2):151–159.
 170. Galipeau J, Krampera M. The challenge of defining mesenchymal stromal cell potency assays and their potential use as release criteria. *Cytotherapy.* 2015;17(2):125–127.
 171. Krampera M, Galipeau J, Shi Y, Tarte K, Sensebe L; MSCs Committee of the International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT). Immunological characterization of multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells—The International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT) working proposal. *Cytotherapy.* 2013;15(9):1054–1061.
 172. Samsonraj RM, Rai B, Sathiyathan P, Puan KJ, Rotzschke O, Hui JH, Raghunath M, Stanton LW, Nurcombe V, Cool SM. Establishing criteria for human mesenchymal stem cell potency. *Stem Cells.* 2015;33(6):1878–1891.