Life-Course Criminal Trajectories of Mafia Members

Crime & Delinquency I-31 © The Author(s) 2019



Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0011128719860834 journals.sagepub.com/home/cad



Gian Maria Campedelli¹, Francesco Calderoni¹, Tommaso Comunale¹, and Cecilia Meneghini¹

Abstract

Through a novel data set comprising the criminal records of 11,138 convicted mafia offenders, we compute criminal career parameters and trajectories through group-based trajectory modeling. Mafia offenders report prolific and persistent careers (16.1 crimes over 16.5 years on average), with five distinct trajectories (low frequency, high frequency, early starter, moderate persistence, high persistence). While showing some similarities with general offenders, the trajectories of mafia offenders also exhibit significant differences, with several groups offending well into their middle and late adulthood, notwithstanding intense criminal justice sanctions. These patterns suggest that several mafia offenders are lifecourse persisters and career criminals and that the involvement in the mafias is a negative turning point extending the criminal careers beyond those observed in general offenders.

Keywords

criminal careers, developmental trajectories, life course, organized crime

¹Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Milan, Italy

Corresponding Author:

Gian Maria Campedelli, PhD Fellow, Transcrime, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Via San Vittore 43, 20123, Milan, Italy.

Email: gianmaria.campedelli@unicatt.it

Introduction

Despite the growing relevance that life-course and developmental criminology has acquired since the publication of the seminal report by the National Academy of Sciences in 1986 (Blumstein, Cohen, Roth, & Visher, 1986), most empirical research has focused on general samples during the childhood and early adulthood, with few notable exceptions (Blokland & Nieuwbeerta, 2005; Laub & Sampson, 2003). For years, research focused on crime in general, overlooking the criminal careers of individuals involved in complex crimes. In the last decade, however, several contributions have applied the framework of life-course criminology and criminal career to white-collar and organized crime. This research suggested that such offenders may follow patterns partially different than the general population, for example, that lateonset offenders made up to 60% of the sample (Van Koppen, De Poot, Kleemans, & Nieuwbeerta, 2010), or that the offending frequency remained stable into the 30s (Morgan, Brown, & Fuller, 2018). These results question whether the general findings of the life-course framework apply to organized crime offenders, often characterized by having persistent, prolific, and violent criminal careers.

This study analyzes the career of the members of the Italian mafias through a novel data set (the Proton Mafia Members [PMM] data set) relying on the largest sample of convicted organized criminals ever analyzed in the literature. The PMM data set includes sociodemographic information and the entire official criminal records of 11,138 individuals convicted for a mafia offense in Italy. We computed the individual-level parameters of the criminal career (duration, frequency, specialization, seriousness, and escalation) and applied group-based trajectory modeling (GBTM) to identify distinct offending trajectories. The sample comprised a population of active (on average 16.1 crimes over 16.5 years of career), frequent (on average 1.25 crime per year), and violent (3.45 average violent crimes per individual) offenders. GBTM yielded five distinct trajectories: a low-frequency, a high-frequency, an early starter, a moderate persistence, and a high persistence group. The trajectories show some similarities with those obtained within more general offending samples (offending peaks during youth or early adulthood and the largest group comprises low-frequency offenders [LFO]). Yet, organized crime offenders reported significant differences from general offending samples: Several individuals showed persistent and prolific criminal careers extending well into adulthood, and in some cases beyond age 50, notwithstanding the increased likelihood of long imprisonment terms due to the intense law enforcement response put in place by the Italian State. These patterns suggest that involvement into the mafias may be considered a negative turning point in the life of individuals with significant impact on their offending career (Laub & Sampson, 1993). Furthermore, Italian organized crime offenders have been intensely criminalized and are more likely to receive long prison sentences. Although we were unable to reconstruct their imprisonment history, it is likely that the strong institutional reactions against the mafias have substantially affected the offending patterns, forcing some sort of desistance in trajectories, which may have otherwise extended for an even longer period.

The rest of this study is organized as follows: The next section summarizes previous research on criminal careers and trajectories on organized crime. The "Data and Method" section outlines the data and the analytical strategy, whereas the "Results and Discussion" section presents and discusses the results. The "Conclusion" section concludes by considering the limitations of the study and outlining the possible directions for future research.

Criminal Careers and Trajectories of Organized Crime Offenders

Criminologists have long focused on differences among offenders to explain the nature of criminal involvement (Farrington, 2003). Blumstein et al. (1986) first developed the criminal career paradigm, defining a criminal career as "the characterization of a longitudinal sequence of offenses committed by an individual offender" (p. 12). The framework identifies six parameters for investigating the criminal career of an individual. The primary components are participation (or prevalence), frequency, and duration; the ancillary ones are specialization, escalation, and intermittency. The interplay among the parameters and individual traits, contextual factors, and life events leads to different outcomes in terms of offending patterns over time. In the last decades, the criminal career paradigm has become a major line of inquiry in criminology, influencing the expansion of the developmental and lifecourse framework (DeLisi & Piquero, 2011; A. R. Piquero, 2008; N. L. Piquero & Weisburd, 2009; Sullivan & Piquero, 2016).

The expansion of the developmental and life-course framework has also benefited from the advancement of statistical methods and particularly from the development of GBTM (Nagin, 2016; A. R. Piquero, 2008). The method is a group-based approach for "identifying distinctive clusters of individual trajectories within the population and for profiling the characteristics of individuals within the clusters" (Nagin, 1999, p. 139). Empirical studies of criminal trajectories have generally found between three and five groups, with four recurrent patterns: "a low-rate group, a high rate group, a moderate but declining group, and a late onset group" (Jennings & Reingle, 2012; A. R. Piquero, 2008, pp. 49-50). The research not only has offered some support to the popular adolescence-limited and life-course persisters taxonomy by Moffitt (1993) but has also suggested the existence of additional patterns, such as low-level chronic offenders, adult-onset offenders, and abstainers (Jolliffe, Farrington, Piquero, MacLeod, & van de Weijer, 2017; Moffitt, 2006). However, the use of GBTM does not solve the theoretical debate on whether offending patterns derive from distinct categories or continuously distributed characteristics (among many, see Sampson & Laub, 2005b; Skardhamar, 2009).

Notwithstanding its increasing relevance, the research on criminal careers has traditionally focused on juveniles and high-volume crimes (see DeLisi & Piquero, 2011). More recently, however, some studies have examined the criminal development of white-collar crime (Morris & Sayed, 2013; N. L. Piquero & Benson, 2004; N. L. Piquero, Piquero, & Weisburd, 2016; N. L. Piquero & Weisburd, 2009; Van Onna, Van der Geest, Huisman, & Denkers, 2014; Weisburd & Waring, 2001) and organized crime (Francis, Humphreys, Kirby, & Soothill, 2013; Kleemans & De Poot, 2008; Kleemans & Van Koppen, 2014; Kleemans, Van Koppen, Van der Geest, Kruisbergen, & Madarie, 2017; Morgan et al., 2018; Van Koppen, De Poot, & Blokland, 2010; Van Koppen, De Poot, Kleemans, et al., 2010). These works have argued that the career of complex criminals often follows patterns different from the general offending population, particularly for adult-onset offenders. For example, Van Onna et al. (2014) analyzed the trajectories of 644 whitecollar offenders prosecuted in the Netherlands. The results yielded four different groups and showed that a significant share of the sample was made of adult-onset offenders. Also Morris and Sayed (2013) found midadulthood onset among white-collar offenders, although they argue that this type of offenders are more intermittent (i.e., opportunity takers) than persistent offenders. Overall, findings on late onset have consistently differentiated white collar from volume crime offenders (see Weisburd, Chayet, & Waring, 1990), suggesting that complex crimes may follow specific career patterns.

The suggestion is confirmed by the few studies analyzing the criminal careers of organized crime offenders. Van Koppen and colleagues (2010) identified four offending trajectories in a purposive sample of 854 Dutch organized criminals, with the largest one (accounting for 40% of the sample) made of adult-onset offenders peaking well into their 40s. The second largest trajectory (30%) included persisters, reaching the peak of their criminal activities around their mid-30s. An updated analysis on a larger sample (1,841 offenders) uncovered six trajectories quite different from the previous analysis. Although more than half of the sample was made of very low

frequency offenders (LFO), the study also identified early bloomers, late bloomers, and chronic offenders (Kleemans et al., 2017). Francis and colleagues (2013) studied the career of 3,360 U.K.-born organized crime offenders, identifying five trajectories. Although the largest one (41% the sample) comprised early starters (ES) peaking in their early 20s, they also found two groups (26% of the sample) of adult-onset offenders, characterized by low offending during adolescence, growing offending through the early adulthood, and peaking in the mid-30s. The authors concluded that only 18% of the sample followed the conventional pattern of offending (high rates during teenage years with a decline at the end of teenage or early adulthood). Recently, Morgan and colleagues analyzed a sample of 3,262 Australian organized crime offenders from age 20 to 42 (Morgan et al., 2018). They identified three trajectories: a late-onset, low-offending trajectory (48% of the sample); a moderate-offending trajectory (42%); and a smaller trajectory (10%) with very high rates (5.4 yearly offenses on average between age 20 and 42). Remarkably, the three trajectories reported stable offending patterns across time, contrasting with the consolidated evidence of the age-crime curve. Overall, the empirical evidence suggests that organized crime offenders may follow different patterns than general offenders and may, thus, require different theoretical explanations.

Notwithstanding the limited overlap between the life-course and organized crime literature, several theoretical approaches may explain the lifecourse mechanisms associated with the involvement into organized crime. First, early involvement into organized crime may be based on social proximity, for example, through family connections, mediated by patterns of social learning and family transmission (Akers, 1977; Bandura, 1976; Farrington, Gundry, & West, 1975). For example, some mafias, such as the Italian 'Ndrangheta, heavily rely on kinship by recruiting several members of the same blood family (Paoli, 1994, 2003). The influence of family is not confined to more traditional societies and to the mafias. Also, the sons of Dutch organized crime offenders are more likely to be involved in crime (Van Dijk, Kleemans, & Eichelsheim, 2018). Furthermore, new recruits can be drawn from the social relations of the affiliates, through friends of friends and acquaintances within the community, with mechanisms similar to the delinquent subcultures discussed by Cloward and Ohlin, where youths achieve status through illegitimate opportunities due to integration of conventional and deviant levels and interaction across different age levels (Cloward & Ohlin, 1960). For example, recruitment in Shanghai Green Gang often relied on individuals from the same birthplace (Wang, 2017). These explanations would suggest criminal trajectories of organized crime offenders starting early in life. Second, involvement into the mafias requires criminal skills,

namely, the ability to use violence. This may favor processes of self-selection. As an example, the Sicilian and American Cosa Nostra recruited youths with criminal expertise after a period of observation (Arlacchi, 1992; Gambetta, 1993; Ianni & Reuss-Ianni, 1972). Similarly, a large portion of the Yakuza's recruits come from juvenile biker gangs called bosozoku (Hill, 2003; Kaplan & Dubro, 1986/2003). Along this reasoning, criminal trajectories of organized criminals would exhibit a high number of violent crimes before entering the mafias. Third, admission in the mafias may sometimes occur at a relatively older age when the new member provides specific expertise or resources. This is in line with the explanations pointing up the importance of social embeddedness and social opportunity structure for the involvement into organized crime (Kleemans & De Poot, 2008; see also Kleemans & Van de Bunt, 1999; Van de Bunt, Siegel, & Zaitch, 2014). According to these theories, the social, logistic, and organizational requirements of most organized crime activities are higher than volume crimes. Consequently, some organized criminals may become involved only once they have developed skills and competences giving them access to opportunities of involvement. For example, Hill reports of the Yakuza's recruitment of older individuals bringing particular skills to the organizations, including former police officers, computer experts, and accountants (Hill, 2003). Accordingly, criminals following similar paths of involvement may report trajectories with a late onset. Overall, the literature offered different concurrent explanations of the involvement into organized crime. Individuals involved through blood ties, social proximity, and/or early propensity toward violence may exhibit criminal trajectories with an onset more in line with the classic age-crime curve (early peaks due to social proximity and/or propensity toward violence), whereas individuals exploiting social opportunities occurring due to professional skills may report a pattern of later involvement of organized crime.

In addition to the processes leading to the involvement, theories have also argued that the entry and permanence into organized crime have an impact on the criminal careers of individuals. Members of organized criminal groups may be considered particular types of career criminals, chronic offenders, or life-course persisters. According to Paoli, entry into the mafias is the result of a Weberian status contract, which determines a change in the social identity and status of the member (Paoli, 2003). Also, membership in the mafias is a lifelong commitment, where spontaneous desistance is allegedly exceptional and eventually punished. Traditionally, exit from the mafias occurs only at death, although witness cooperation programs and long prison sentences may have introduced additional, forced exits (La Spina, 2004). These considerations suggest that the trajectories of organized crime offenders may often be prolonged until well into adulthood, at least until death or until long-term imprisonment (in some occasions, life imprisonment) occurs.

The arguments exposed above point out that the analysis of the criminal career of organized crime offenders has relevance not only for improving the knowledge on organized crime, which is often responsible for a disproportionate amount of serious offenses. In fact, better understanding of criminal career of organized crime offenders may also contribute to the theoretical development of the life-course framework by testing the generalizability of the age–crime curve and other patterns observed among general offenders (DeLisi & Piquero, 2011). This study aims to enhance the knowledge on the criminal career of organized crime offenders relying on the largest data set of such type ever analyzed in the literature. It addresses three main research questions:

Research Question 1: What are the characteristics of criminal trajectories of mafia offenders?

Research Question 2: Do they differ from those of the general offending population?

Research Question 3: How do criminal career parameters differ across trajectories?

Data and Method

Data

The analysis relies on a unique data set, the PMM data set, which merges information from two separate data sources provided by the Italian Ministry of Justice: the Criminal Records Registry (CRR) data set and the Prison Administration Department (DAP) data set. The CRR data set contains detailed information on all the final criminal convictions of individuals who have received a final conviction for at least one mafia offense (the inclusion criterion for the sample).¹ Final convictions are criminal sentences that cannot be appealed, for example, because they were issued by the Supreme Court, or they were issued by lower courts, but not appealed by the defendant. Although the mafia offense occurred between 1982 and March 2017, the other criminal records comprise offenses committed between 1941 and 2017. At the time of crime commission, offenders were between 14 and 77 years of age, and the distribution of the year of birth shows that most individuals were born between 1955 and 1970 (Figure 1). As 14 is the minimum age for children to be held criminally liable for any offense, offenses committed before this age would not be recorded in any criminal registry.² For all



Figure 1. Distribution of mafia members per year of birth (n = 11,138); number of crimes committed by mafia members by year (n = 178,427); distribution of age at first mafia offense in the sample (n = 11,138); mean number of offenses by age (n = 11,138).

individuals in the CRR data set, the DAP data set reports sociodemographic variables, information related to the type of mafia organization (e.g., Cosa Nostra or Camorra), and the role that the offenders held within their mafia organization (e.g., affiliate, boss). The Italian Ministry of Justice performed the matching between the two data sets and anonymized the data through unique alphanumeric IDs.

The PMM data set includes sociodemographic information on 11,138 mafia offenders, who have committed a total of 178,427 criminal offenses. More than a quarter of the crimes in the data set have been committed between 1990 and 1994 (Figure 1). The sharp decline in the number of crimes committed in most recent years is influenced by the delay in recording more recent offenses in the CRR data set, given the documented length of criminal proceedings in Italy. Based on the violated source and article of the offenses, we classified the crimes into eight offense categories (Table 1).

In terms of criminal characteristics, although the average age at first crime is relatively low (23.84), the first mafia crime occurs relatively later in life (average age = 34.26 years), in line with previous studies finding that organized criminal activities usually take place in the later stages of life (Kleemans

Crime category	Ν	%
Weapons	36,107	20.24
Property	28,634	16.05
Threat and extortion	22,478	12.60
Violent	22,254	12.47
Drugs and smuggling	14,465	8.11
Mafia	13,958	7.82
Misdemeanors	10,659	5.97
Other	29,872	16.74
Total	178,427	100.00

 Table I. CRR Data Set Crime Categories—Absolute Frequencies and Percentages.

Note. CRR = Criminal Records Registry.

& De Poot, 2008; Van Koppen, De Poot, Kleemans, et al., 2010). Nonetheless, when focusing on the process of crime commission in general, the shape of the aggregate age–crime curve follows a well-known trend (Brame & Piquero, 2003; Figure 1). The mean number of crimes committed increases steeply from age 14 to age 18, reaching its peak at age 25. It then decreases from around age 30, reaching very low levels around age 60, although with-out completely converging toward a no-crimes scenario. This indicates that a relevant part of the sample is active in adulthood and even that a small fraction still commits crimes in late adulthood.

Nearly all mafia members in the sample are males born and residing in Italy, with a low level of education (Table 2). More than a third (34.18%) were born in the 1960s, and the great majority (82.12%) was born between 1950 and 1980. Of the four types of mafia, the Sicilian mafia is the most represented, accounting for more than one third of the individuals.

To analyze the criminal careers of mafia offenders in the PMM data set, we first computed the criminal career parameters for the entire sample. We then performed GBTM to detect the presence of different developmental strata. Finally, we calculated the average values of the criminal parameters for the different trajectories to test and assess the existence of different patterns beyond the mere counting of crimes committed, also verifying the statistical significance of the results.

The Parameters of the Criminal Career

The PMM data set allows to analyze the criminal career of mafia offenders in line with the paradigm developed by Blumstein et al. (1986). A criminal

Variable	Statistics	Missing (%)
Sex	98.2% are males	0
Country of birth	98.4% were born in Italy	0
Country of residence	99.3% reside in Italy	0.5
Decade of birth	82% were born between 1950 and 1980	0
Religion	99% are Catholic	12
Education	82.4% have between 5 and 8 years of education, 10.12% have more than 13 years of education	17.9
Mafia association	37.5% belong to the Sicilian Mafia, 30.7% to the Camorra, 16.2% to the Calabrian Mafia, and 15.4% to the Apulian Mafia	5.5
Role	65.2% are associates, 27% underbosses, 6.8% bosses	56
Mean age at first crime	23.84	0
Mean age at first mafia crime	34.26	0
Mean age at first arrest	37.85	0
Mean number of committed crimes	16.01	0
Mean number of crime categories	7.16	0
Mean number of violent crimes ^a	3.42	0

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of the Population in the Data Set (N = 11, 138).

^aViolent crimes include murder, extortion, assault and violent offenses, and robbery.

career is defined as "the longitudinal sequence of offenses committed by an offender who has a detectable rate of offending during some period" (Blumstein, Cohen, & Farrington, 1988, p. 2). Ideally, the study of criminal careers requires longitudinal data on delinquency/offending throughout the life course (Farrington, 1992). Such data are normally collected through longitudinal studies on cohorts of youth, but in some cases also official crime data have been employed despite some limitations (see A. R. Piquero, Farrington, & Blumstein, 2003). Given the peculiarity of our sample, official crime records can provide useful insights into the criminal careers of organized crime offenders. Nevertheless, these sources imply a number of limitations, as official convictions might underestimate the criminal careers of

mafia members for several reasons: The dark number (difference between the number of actual crimes and the recorded crimes) may be particularly relevant for organized crime cases (Francis et al., 2013; Morgan et al., 2018; Van Koppen, De Poot, Kleemans, et al., 2010); the PMM data set only focuses on final convictions, thus excluding pending cases or convictions successfully appealed; some convictions, for example, for minor offenses and crimes committed by juveniles may not be recorded in the registry as a special benefit to the offender; the CRR only includes offenses relevant to the Italian law, thus, potentially missing crimes committed abroad, although most offenders were born and resident in Italy; the crime year (and, therefore, the age of the individual) was initially unavailable for nearly 11% of the offenses.³ All these considerations suggest that particular care is needed to analyze the criminal career of mafia offenders through official crime data.

For each offender, we computed four classic individual-level parameters of the criminal career (see Blumstein et al., 1986; A. R. Piquero et al., 2003), namely, duration, frequency, specialization, and escalation (additional descriptive statistics and details on the parameters are in Savona et al., 2017).⁴ The duration of a criminal career is the "length of time that an offender continues to commit crimes once beginning an active criminal career" (Rhodes, 1989, p. 3). We computed the duration as the time span (in years) between the first and the last recorded offense of each individual. The offending frequency records "the average number of crimes committed per year by active offenders" (Farrington, MacLeod, & Piquero, 2016, p. 339) and has been computed by dividing the total number of crimes by the duration.⁵ The specialization is the tendency to commit crimes of the same type, with different approaches proposed in the literature (see Sullivan, McGloin, Ray, & Caudy, 2009, for a comprehensive review). Following prior studies, we operationalized specialization through the diversity index (e.g., A. R. Piquero, Oster, Mazerolle, Brame, & Dean, 1999; Sullivan, Mcgloin, Pratt, & Piquero, 2006; Wright, Pratt, & DeLisi, 2008). The index yields a quantitative measure of specialization at the individual level, which represents the probability that any two offenses drawn randomly from an individual's set of offenses belong to two different crime categories (A. R. Piquero et al., 1999).⁶

The escalation parameter indicates the tendency of an offender to move to more serious offenses throughout the criminal career (Blumstein et al., 1986). To compute the escalation, we first assigned a seriousness score to each crime in the data set by considering the average statutory penalty expressed in months of imprisonment (therefore, minor offenses punished with a fine had seriousness = 0, felonies punished with up to life imprisonment had seriousness of about 330-360, depending on the minimum penalty). Other strategies to estimate the crime seriousness were not feasible due to unavailable data on

the actual imposed sentences. Maximum penalty as in Van Koppen, De Poot, Kleemans, et al. (2010) was unsuitable for the Italian criminal legislation, which often prescribes a minimum and a maximum penalty.⁷ Following Liu, Francis, and Soothill (2011), we then computed the escalation as the coefficient of a linear regression of the crime seriousness on a temporal measure. We adopted two different temporal measures: The escalation by age regresses the seriousness on the offender's age, thus measuring the maturation process of an offender. The escalation by crime regresses the crime seriousness on the progressive offense number in an individual's criminal career, focusing on the experiential process of offenders committing an increasing number of crimes.⁸

GBTM

GBTM is a specialized form of finite mixture modeling that allows to detect similar developmental trajectories within a population or sample, measuring a given outcome over certain time periods. Remarkably, GBTM is an approximation allowing to detect different strata in a given population within very heterogeneous statistical distributions, and to assign individuals to a theoretical trajectory group identified by the model. GBTM was specifically designed by criminologists for the analysis of longitudinal data, and has been extensively applied to many different fields (for a review, see Nagin, 2016; Nagin & Odgers, 2010). Whereas the PMM data set is not properly longitudinal (it includes individuals who were born and lived during different time periods), we applied GBTM to our sample by using the individuals' age as our time unit and pooling all mafia members as an artificial cohort.

There are three different possible GBTM specifications: censored normal, zero-inflated Poisson (ZIP), and the binary logistic distribution. The censored normal model fits continuous data, the ZIP is appropriate for count data with zeros in excess with respect to the expectation under the Poisson assumption, and the binary logistic has been developed for dichotomous variables (Jones & Nagin, 2013). Considered our modeled outcome and its statistical distribution (i.e., number of crimes), we employed the ZIP model.

The particular nature of our data entailed two issues for the effective application of GBTM. First, although most individuals did not commit a crime in any specific year, an extremely small fraction of them were convicted for a high number of offenses. As a result, the majority of the cells in the offenders-by-years matrix have zero offenses, although in a limited number of cases, more than 100 offenses were recorded. The high inflation of zeroes and the extremely large range of number of crimes committed per age posed problems of variance and false convergence within the matrix. To address

Parameter	Average	SD	Interquartile range	Missing (%)
Duration (years)	16.45	10.95	16	0
Frequency (crimes per year)	1.25	1.45	0.97	6.5
Specialization (diversity index)	0.75	0.13	0.15	4.6
Escalation by age	6.27	33.56	12.23	7.4
Escalation by crime number	19.81	71.78	39.56	7.4

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of the Population in the PMM Data Set (N = 11, 138).

Note. PMM = Proton Mafia Members.

this issue, we capped the maximum number of offenses at 15. This choice brought under control the matrix asymmetry, while concerning only a minority of individuals and crimes.⁹ Second, our data cover a long time period, with all crimes ever committed by individuals from several generations (crime years ranging between the 1940s and 2017). Nevertheless, we had no reliable information on deaths, except for the rare occasions when they occurred in prison. Consequently, the age range within the data set would inevitably generate unequal data distribution between living and deceased individuals. To address this problem, we censored age beyond 60, which is still a remarkably old age for trajectory studies (A. R. Piquero, 2008).¹⁰ These two operations allowed to perform solid GBTM models avoiding the risk of false convergence and matrix asymmetry due to variance and extremely skewed distributions.

Results and Discussion

Overall, mafia members are prolific (on average 1.25 crimes per year over an average career of 16.45 years) and versatile (average diversity index 0.75) offenders and their careers are marked by clear escalating patterns in terms of crime seriousness (Table 3). This means that, in time, the severity of the crimes tends to increase.

To set up the correct GBTM model, we have first iterated the model starting from a minimum of one to a maximum of eight trajectories to select the optimal number of groups that maximized the Bayesian information criterion (BIC), the standard measure to identify the best model (Nagin, 2005). The best fitting model yielded five groups, all specified by cubic polynomial order (postestimation diagnostics in the supplemental appendix). The resulting five trajectories are (Figure 2) LFO, high-persistence offenders (HPO),



Figure 2. Trajectories of mafia members (N = 11,075). Note. LFO = low-frequency offenders; HPO = high-persistence offenders; ES = early starters; HFO = high-frequency offenders; MPO = moderate-persistence offenders.

ES, high-frequency offenders (HFO), and moderate-persistence offenders (MPO). Each trajectory group holds specific features and highlights patterned distributions with regard to the criminal career parameters (Table 4, see also Supplemental Table S5 for the distribution of some sociodemographic characteristics across the identified groups). Overall, the means of nearly all parameters were statistically different for most pairs of trajectories, except for both escalation types (where only the LFO reported different values, see the supplemental appendix for further details on test statistics) and specialization (where HPO, HFO, and ES showed nonsignificant pairwise differences).

LFO (35.37% of the sample) are the largest group, comprising more than one third of the sample. This trajectory shows a very low curve, with a slight peak in the mid-30s (frequency about 0.25) and gradually declining afterward. On average, offenders assigned to this category were born earlier than the overall sample, committed the lowest number of offenses and violent crimes (5.5 and 0.9, respectively), with the latest age at first offense (30.27) and a later age of entry into the mafias (39.35). They report a below-average duration, the lowest frequency, and seriousness. Individuals included in this trajectory follow a late-onset, low-frequency pattern. They join the mafias fully into their adulthood and the mafia offense may be their first conviction (hence, the higher seriousness at first crime), when they are likely to have been sentenced to long prison terms. Late involvement into organized crime

Table 4. Criminal Career Para	meters Distributi	on per Trajectory (Aver	ages per Traj	ectory and Ov	erall Sample).	
Trajectory	I. LFO	 High-persistence offenders 	3. Early starters	4. High- frequency offenders	5. Moderate- persistence offenders	Overall
z	3,917	955	2,760	1,077	2,366	11,075 ^a
(%)	(35.37)	(8.62)	(24.92)	(9.72)	(21.36)	(001)
Year of birth	1959	1952	1972	1964	1963	1963
N of crimes	5.52	32.26	12.87	39.74	20.11	16.10
Age at first crime	30.27	21.74	19.33	19.96	19.97	23.61
Age of mafia offense	39.35	42.16	25.68	31.03	33.31	34.27
Violent crimes	0.90	6.52	2.67	11.13	3.83	3.45
Violent crimes before mafia	0.46	2.83	I.60	5.59	2.18	1.80
Parameters						
Duration	13.32	31.05	10.65	19.15	21.69	16.45
Frequency	0.67	I.II	I.60	2.73	1.03	1.25
Specialization	0.54	0.72	0.70	0.72	0.74	0.65
Seriousness ^b	50.76	54.44	65.53	73.25	57.93	58.47
Seriousness at first crime	115.11	45.92	95.70	87.71	53.68	88.52
Escalation (by age)	8.29	3.30	7.56	5.17	3.80	6.27
Escalation (by crime order)	33.95	10.98	16.03	13.09	11.79	19.81

Note. PMM = Proton Mafia Members; LFO = low-frequency offenders.

³Sixty-three out of 11,138 individuals in the PMM only committed crimes after age 60, and were, therefore, not included in the trajectory analysis due to temporal censoring.

^bThe average seriousness does not include the seriousness of the mafia offense (which normally is approximately 240 months). As a result, the average values are lower for all groups, and especially for those with lower number of crimes, as LFO. has often been attributed to the social embeddedness and to the social opportunity structure of organized crime (Kleemans & De Poot, 2008; Kleemans & Van de Bunt, 1999). Individuals may become involved into organized crime due to specific social relations, personal skills, or work-related opportunities (Van Koppen & de Poot, 2013). Sociodemographic information presented in Supplemental Table S5 provides some support to this interpretation. Individuals in this group tend to have higher education compared with other groups and a lower share of them is classified as boss, underboss, or lieutenant. Other studies on organized crime trajectories found large proportions of low-frequency, adult-onset offenders. These accounted for up to 40% in the Dutch sample by Van Koppen and colleagues (2010), 48% among Australian offenders (Morgan et al., 2018), and 53% in the more recent Dutch sample (Kleemans et al., 2017). Although the mafias need accountants, lawyers, entrepreneurs, and politicians, they also need truck drivers, customs officials, public employees, and bank clerks. Although Moffitt's developmental typology failed to identify this category, late-onset offenders have been also found in general criminal career research (DeLisi & Piquero, 2011; Eggleston & Laub, 2002). Some studies criticized the category, arguing that it is inevitably overestimated when relying solely on official records (Beckley et al., 2016; McGee & Farrington, 2010) or even that no such category exists (Sohoni, Paternoster, McGloin, & Bachman, 2014). Nevertheless, a recent systematic review relying on a secondary analysis of seven samples with both official criminal records and self-reported offending always identified this category (Jolliffe et al., 2017).

HPO (8.62%) show a sinusoidal trend over time, starting with nonzero frequencies in adolescence, and offending until well into adulthood (peaking around their mid-40s when mafia members in other trajectories are not active anymore). On average, they were born earlier than the rest of the sample, committed a high number of offenses (32.26), and of violent crimes (6.52); more than 20 years pass between their first crime (at 21.71 years on average) and the mafia offense (42.16 years). The criminal career parameters reveal that individuals in this trajectory have the longest duration (31 years), average frequency and seriousness (1.1 and 54.44, respectively). These offenders are likely to belong to an older generation of mafia members (also considering their average year of birth, i.e., 1952), and they report an extremely long and prolific criminal career overall. Interestingly, they exhibit a long and violent criminal activity also before their entry into the mafia. There are two possible, concurrent interpretations of this pattern. First, these offenders may have joined the mafias well into their adulthood but after having demonstrated criminal skills that favored their involvement, as argued by several studies contending that a career in organized crime is available to individuals

prone to violence (Arlacchi, 1992; Gambetta, 1993; Ianni & Reuss-Ianni, 1972). Second, because the offense of participation in a mafia association (Article 416-bis of the Italian Criminal Code) was introduced only in 1982, it is also possible that some individuals falling into this trajectory may have entered into the mafias in years when this was not specifically criminalized. Their criminal activity during early adulthood would thus reflect their anticipated involvement into organized crime, possibly prompted by their criminal skills or by kinship and social ties with senior members. This interpretation may find some support in the fact that nearly a quarter of the offenders in this group were classified has having a leader position within the criminal organizations (see Supplemental Table S5). Furthermore, they continue offending afterward, despite the very high probability of long prison sentences. Although available information prevents more detailed interpretations to these patterns, we note that similar trajectories were found in other studies on organized crime offenders, for example, the Dutch low-frequency chronic offenders who peaked in their 40s (Kleemans et al., 2017). Due to the length of their offending career, these criminal careers may bear some similarity with career criminals, chronic offenders, or life-course persisters in general criminal career and life-course criminology (DeLisi & Piquero, 2011, p. 295; Jolliffe et al., 2017). However, they do not show the high-frequency patterns during the late adolescence and young adulthood normally associated with these categories.

ES (24.92%) report a trajectory with low intercept but rapidly increasing until a peak around age 23 followed by a steady decline to low frequencies in their 30s. They are younger (average year of birth 1972) than offenders in other trajectories and commit a below-average number of offenses and violent crimes (12.87 and 2.67, respectively). The average onset is at 19.33 years and entry into the mafias occurs earlier than other offenders (at 25.68 years). This may explain the shortest duration of their criminal career (10.65) and the above-average seriousness (65.53). This trajectory captures mafia members of more recent generations, with a rapid criminal escalation that brought them to join the mafias. Entry in the mafias may have caused long prison sentences and this is reflected in the rapid decline of the trajectory after its peak. The younger age and the abrupt interruption of their mafia career may have prevented some of them to access to the higher ranks in the organizations, as only 11.3% were identified as having a leading position. These results suggest that ES may have joined the mafias in their youth as a result of kinship and social relations with previous members as suggested by several organized crime scholars (Ianni & Reuss-Ianni, 1972; Kleemans & Van de Bunt, 1999; Paoli, 2003). The trajectory shows similarities to others in the literature. For example, Dutch organized criminals comprised about 11% of ES peaking in their mid-20s (Van Koppen, De Poot, Kleemans, et al., 2010) or 8% early bloomers peaking in the early 20s (Kleemans et al., 2017); similarly, about 60% of U.K. organized crime offenders were classified among low-rate or high-rate ES (Francis et al., 2013). However, we hypothesize that the desistance in our trajectory may likely be caused by incapacitation as their increasingly serious offenses may have attracted the attention of the criminal justice system, putting them under the spotlight of law enforcement agencies and leading to long imprisonment terms. Contrarily to HPO, ES commit a lower number of violent crimes (before and after the mafia offense) consistently with the less frequent recourse to violence in the Italian mafias since the middle of the 1990s (Berlusconi, 2014). Nevertheless, their prema-fia offenses are concentrated in a shorter time span and, despite lower levels of violence, they exhibit high levels of seriousness.

HFO (9.72%) report high frequencies from the beginning of their career (intercept at age 14 around 1), peaking at 30 and converging toward 0 in their 40s. This trajectory comprises the most active mafia members, born averagely in 1964 and committing the highest number of offenses (nearly 40) and violent crimes (11.13). They report both an early onset (19.96 years) and an entry into the mafia before the sample average (at 31 years). They prolong their very active criminal career for 19 years on average, with the highest frequency (2.73) and seriousness (73.25). Under several perspectives, HFO conform to the prototypical mafia member, with an early, violent, and prolonged criminal career as suggested by already mentioned studies arguing that criminal skills and violent attitudes are driving the involvement into organized crime. About 23% of the individuals have actually achieved a leadership position in the criminal groups (Supplemental Table S5). HFO's prolific career was possibly interrupted by detention, because they were probably heavily involved in the violent mafia wars observed in Italy in the 1980s and early 1990s when mafia-related homicide rates and other violent crimes dramatically peaked. The interpretation is supported also by their average year of birth (1964), which makes it realistic to place them in that historic frame. This may have triggered the institutional reaction of the criminal justice system who imprisoned these offenders, thus leading to the rapid decrease observed in their trajectory. This could explain the differences between HFO and HPO: HPO are, on average, older than HFO, both in terms of cohort effect and in terms of entry into the mafias. Conversely, HFO report a significantly higher frequency and number of violent crimes (both overall and before the mafia offense) as they were likely on the front line in the most violent period in the recent history of the Italian mafias. Compared with other organized crime samples, HFO follow trajectories broadly comparable with the Dutch high-frequency chronic offenders (Kleemans et al., 2017) and

Australian high-offending trajectories (Morgan et al., 2018), although the decline in the trajectory is more abrupt due to the likely strong incapacitation effect of prison sentences following the strong targeting of the criminal justice system against mafia members during the 1980s and the 1990s. The high frequency and violence of their criminal careers make HFO similar to chronic offenders, career criminals, and life-course persisters found in general samples.

Finally, MPO (21.36%) report a trajectory starting with low frequency, peaking at 28 years, and declining afterward (convergence toward zero at age 50). On average, MPO were born in the same year of the whole sample (1963), and committed an above-average number of offenses (20.11) and violent crimes (3.83). Similarly to HFO, most of the offenders were born in around the early 1960s, the onset is at about age 20, the entry in the mafias is in their early 30s, and the duration is above average (21.69 years). However, contrarily to HFO, MPO report much lower frequency, seriousness, and violent offending.

The small but growing literature on the trajectories of organized crime offenders showed heterogeneous patterns, suggesting that this minority of offenders may substantially depart from the forms observed in the general offending population. By relying on the largest sample of organized criminals ever analyzed, we found some similarities with results from general offender samples. Even for mafia offenders, we found criminal careers mostly peaking during youth or young adulthood; trajectories showing desistance, although at different ages (Sampson & Laub, 2003); the largest trajectory comprised LFO (A. R. Piquero, 2008). The results did not generate trajectories with peculiar patterns, such as the large share of late-onset offenders in Van Koppen et al. (2010) or the rather flat, medium- and high-frequency, trajectories in Morgan et al. (2018). The trajectories also share some patterns with the categories often employed in developmental and life-course criminology studies (Jolliffe et al., 2017).

The similarity with general offending trajectories, however, should be interpreted with caution due to the limitations of our data. The relatively older age of onset and peak of most trajectories is likely due to the use of official data, which inevitably biases the crimes count of our sample (Blokland & Nieuwbeerta, 2010). The analysis underestimates the number of crimes, particularly for those individuals and trajectories reporting low frequencies during their teens. For example, ES and MPO reported an intercept close to 0, but their actual offending activity may have started at an earlier age, making them more similar to HPO and HFO. Furthermore, because the literature showed that a significant proportion of late-onset offenders has actually committed offenses during their youth (Beckley et al., 2016), we assume that a

share of our LFO may have, in fact, offended at an earlier age. These limitations are nearly inevitable when analyzing organized criminals, a specific minority who actively attempts to hide its activities and is often involved in crimes with low reporting rates (e.g., extortions, possession of weapons and explosives).

Along with the similarities with general offending samples, our findings show interesting differences. Several mafia offenders exhibit long-lasting careers, high frequencies, and a high number of violent offenses. This is contrary to the evidence from general, adult samples where these patterns are limited to a small percentage of high-rate chronic or persister offenders (Blokland & Nieuwbeerta, 2005; Sampson & Laub, 2003). The duration observed in mafia offenders is more in line with studies on sample of serious offenders (Ezell, 2007; A. R. Piquero, Brame, & Lynam, 2004). Our sample of prolonged offending suggests that the path of involvement and permanence into the mafias entails a long-lasting impact on the criminal career, making it a negative turning point in the life of individuals (Laub & Sampson, 1993; Sampson & Laub, 2003). The path of joining the mafias plays an important role into an offender's criminal activity, and Paoli contended that entry into the mafias entails a status contract affecting the social and psychological roles of an individual (Paoli, 2003). The transition into the mafias indeed provides "an opportunity for identity transformation" and that allows "for the emergence of a new self or script," which determines a negative impact on offending careers (Sampson & Laub, 2005a, p. 34). Previous studies have applied the turning point framework to gang membership (Melde & Esbensen, 2011, 2014; Thornberry, Krohn, Lizotte, Smith, & Tobin, 2003), showing that several individual and environmental processes of gang membership increase individuals' offending. However, although gang membership is frequently short in duration, mafia membership is normally considered a permanent state and may, thus, have a more long-lasting impact on the offending career of an individual.

The extended trajectories and duration of the criminal careers of the mafia offenders are particularly remarkable considering that these offending patterns have not developed in a social vacuum. Contrarily, the Italian State has progressively increased its efforts to tackle the mafias, with better law enforcement strategies and harsher criminal justice measures (La Spina, 2004, 2014). As a reaction to mafia activities, Italy has increased the penalties for several offenses often committed by mafia members. For example, in 1982, the original penalties for the participation in mafia association (Article 416-bis of the Criminal Code) were from 3 to 6 years of imprisonment for simple participants and from 4 to 9 years for directors and leaders, respectively (if the mafia association was armed, penalties were 4-9 years and 5-9

years for participants and leaders, respectively). Through the years, the penalties have been repeatedly increased in 2005, 2008, and 2015, and today, the standard penalties are from 10 to 15 years and from 12 to 18 years for participation and leadership, respectively (12-20 years and 15-26 years, respectively, if the association is armed). Similarly, penalties for extortion have increased remarkably. The Italian Criminal Code initially imposed 3 to 10 years, which could increase to 4 to 15 years if the extortion was committed by more people. With several legislative interventions, the penalties have substantially increased, and today, extortion committed by a member of a mafia association is punishable with between 7 and 20 years of imprisonment. Furthermore, convictions for mafia offenses entail closer monitoring in prison, exclusion from probation and parole programs, unless offenders cooperate with the prosecution service. Even after the sentence is served, the law allows additional preventive measures imposed on offenders, and the authorities monitor released offenders very closely. The growingly harsh criminal policies deployed in the last decades have certainly affected the probability of detection, arrest, conviction, and long sentences. In turn, the measures have likely affected the criminal careers of mafia offenders, for example, reducing the duration (due to longer and stricter imprisonment) and increasing the frequency (due to better investigations and more successful prosecutions). The impact may be even stronger in the forthcoming years, when the most recent reforms will influence the final convictions (a single conviction for mafia participation or extortion may entail a sentence of even more than 20 years of imprisonment).

The action of the criminal justice system has certainly affected the criminal careers of our sample, although we could not access detailed data on imposed penalties and actual imprisonment periods.¹¹ The offenders of our sample were convicted of serious offenses (including murders, extortion, drug trafficking criminal association, and of course mafia association), which entailed very long imprisonment periods and, in several cases, life imprisonment. Incapacitation has likely affected the assessment of the trajectories and criminal careers, most likely by reducing the offending frequency and forcing some sort of desistance, especially in the trajectories of the offenders convicted in the last years (A. R. Piquero, 2008).

These considerations suggest to assess our findings on persistence and desistance in mafia offending also under the light of the criminal justice reaction against this population. Regarding persistence, the remarkable intensity and duration of mafia offenders' criminal careers is even more exceptional once the incapacitating impact of the Italian criminal justice is considered. Despite being the target of intense institutional reactions, an important share of mafia offenders reports extended offending trajectories and very active criminal careers. These patterns suggest that several offenders actually conform to the patterns observed in chronic offenders, life-course persisters, and career criminals, and that mafia membership may determine a particularly detrimental, long-lasting, negative turning point (DeLisi et al., 2011; Sampson & Laub, 2005a). Regarding desistance, also mafia offenders appear to be lifecourse desisters, showing offending trajectories declining only well into their adulthood (Sampson & Laub, 2003). However, we contend that the observed desistance is, at least in part, the result of incapacitation rather than actual cessation of offending behavior. Without imprisonment, several mafia members may have continued into their offending careers until an extraordinarily advanced age, with some possibly continuing until their very last years.

Conclusion

Although the literature on organized crime trajectories hypothesized that organized offenders may follow life-course offending patterns remarkably distinct from general offenders, our assessment on the largest data set ever analyzed provided mixed support to this argument. The trajectories of Italian mafia members show some similarities with those identified among more general offending samples, particularly the largest trajectory group comprises offenders reporting a small number of offenses and low frequencies and most offending trajectories peak during their youth. These similarities, however, come along with important peculiarities of our sample. The criminal careers of mafia offenders are very long and prolific, include several violent offenses, and extend well into adulthood. The pathways leading to involvement and permanence into the mafias have a significant impact on offending careers, a negative turning point likely affecting the duration, seriousness, and frequency of criminality (Laub & Sampson, 1993; Melde & Esbensen, 2011).

Our study has several limitations, in part, already discussed in the previous sections. First, the PMM data set includes only official convictions. Thus, notwithstanding the intense law enforcement efforts against the mafias, our data likely focus on a fraction of the total illegal activities perpetrated by the individuals in the sample. Second, we did not have information on actual detention periods and on the death of the individuals. This affected our capacity to estimate the intermittency in the sample as well as to analyze into greater detail the causes of desistance. Nevertheless, the GBTM showed that some trajectories extended well into the 40s and 50s, when some individuals in the sample may have faced long imprisonment periods (including life imprisonment) or may have died due to several reasons. Third, due to data protection and feasibility reasons, our analysis covers only individuals with a final conviction for a mafia offense. Consequently, our sample contains less information on the last decade due to the length of criminal proceedings for mafia cases. Last, the high share of missing information for some sociodemographic characteristics warrants caution in the interpretation of the relation between the trajectories and roles in the organization and the levels of education.

The research can be extended toward several directions. Although this study focused on the whole sample, future assessments may analyze birth cohorts or individuals having joined the mafias in a specific period to uncover possible variations and provide further insight on the impact of the law enforcement actions on the criminal careers of mafia offenders. In addition, comparisons could be made among the main types of Italian mafias (Cosa Nostra, Camorra, 'Ndrangheta, and Apulian criminal organizations), or with the careers of other organized criminals, for example, in the Netherlands or Australia, to inquire about similarities and differences across disparate types of criminal groups. Furthermore, it may be important to assess the processes leading to the involvement into the mafias by analyzing the offending career before the commission of the mafia offense. This would enable a better understanding of the impact of joining the mafia as a turning point in the individual's life. Finally, the framework of life-course criminology could contribute in determining whether different sociodemographic and offending characteristics explain the criminal careers of mafia offenders and the time of entry into the mafias.

Authors' Note

This contribution originates within the framework of PROTON project. The PROTON project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement Nr. 699824. We would like to thank two anonymous reviewers, Alfred Blumstein, Daniel Nagin, Bobby Jones, Arjan Blokland, Edward Kleemans, Anthony Morgan, Victor van der Geest for the useful comments to our manuscript. This work is the result of the joint efforts by all authors. G.M.C, F.C., T.C. and C.M. jointly contributed to the methodological setup of the study, G.M.C. , F.C., C.M. to the analysis of the results, F.C. wrote the introduction and discussion, T.C. wrote the background section, G.M.C. and C.M. wrote the methodology section, G.M.C and F.C. wrote the results. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This publication originates within the

frame of project PROTON, funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement number 699824.

Notes

- 1. Mafia offenses include mafia association (Article 416-bis of the Italian Criminal Code), electoral deal between politics and mafia (Article 416-ter of the CC), assistance to the associates (Article 418 of the CC), and any other offense aggravated by the use of the mafia method or the purpose to benefit a mafia association (now under art. 416-bis 1 of the CC, previously Art. 7 of Decree Law 152/1991 converted into Art. 7 of law 203/1991). Most of the individuals included were convicted for mafia association. Italy introduced a specific crime of mafia association is a criminal association whose members use the intimidatory power of the association and the consequent conditions of subjection and silence (the so-called *omertà*) to commit serious offenses and obtain other unjust advantages (see La Spina, 2014, p. 594). Participation in and direction of a mafia association are offenses separate from the specific crimes committed by the members of the association.
- 2. In addition, available data are likely to underrepresent the number of crimes committed when offenders were aged between 14 and 17, as less strict judgment procedures apply to such young offenders (in particular, offenders need to be judged to be capable of forming the necessary criminal intent in relation to the specific offense).
- 3. To overcome the problem of missing data and input the crime year for each offense, we have adopted a probabilistic method that relies on the availability of information on the year of punishment for all the crimes in the data set. Indeed, to calculate the year of crime commission Y we have performed a weighted random sampling of the lag L, which is the difference between the year of the punishment I and the actual Y. The sampling was based on P(L|I,C). This is the conditional probability of the lag given both I and C, where this last term represents the crime category to which the offense belongs. In this way, we imputed the crime year assuming that crimes belonging to the same category and punished at the same age have similar lag distributions.
- 4. The intermittency (the time gaps between crimes), another individual-level parameter, was discarded due to limited information about the actual detention periods served by the individuals in the sample.
- As a consequence, the frequency could not be computed for offenders with duration =0 (e.g., offenders reporting only one offense during their career or more offenses in the same year).
- 6. A diversity index of zero indicates that the offender is completely specialized on one type of crime. Conversely, a value of the index approaching 1 relates to an offender engaging in a diversity of crime categories. The parameter was missing for 4.6% of the sample (offenders with only the mafia offense).
- For example, extortion (Article 629 of the Italian Criminal Code) carries a standard penalty of between 5 and 10 years of imprisonment, whereas robbery

(Article 628) between 4 and 10 years, and corruption (Article 319) between 6 and 10 years.

- 8. It was impossible to identify the statutory penalty for all the different criminal violations in the data set (reported at the paragraph level, for a total of more than 40,000 distinct types of violations). Based on the most frequent offenses, we ensured that the seriousness was correctly reported for about 95% of the total offenses in the PMM data set. The escalation parameter is thus missing when (a) offenders committed only one crime or (b) offenders committed only two or more crimes in the same year or (c) offenders committed crimes in different years, but seriousness scores were unavailable for at least 2 years.
- 9. The cap at 15 crimes per year only affected about 0.09% of the cells in the offenders-by-years matrix, and the discarded crimes accounted for 3.3% of total crimes.
- 10. The age censoring affected about 0.1% of the cells in the matrix and about 0.76% of the total offenses in the PMM data set. To test the robustness of the results, we have also replicated the analysis with a censoring at 50. We obtained again five trajectories with very similar trajectories and distributions of the sample among them. The results are available upon requests to the authors.
- 11. A detailed understanding of the impact incapacitation is further complicated by specific features of the Italian criminal justice system. First, trial in absentia is allowed and has frequently occurred against mafia suspects, who have often actively avoided arrest, in some instances for decades. Thus, penalties imposed upon conviction would hardly be representative of actual conviction periods for our sample. Second, Italy admits both concurrent and consecutive sentences, depending on particular characteristics of the crimes and of the context of commission. Third, detention is the normal pretrial measure for mafia cases and it can last for several years. Consequently, even with better data on actual incarceration periods, it would be nearly impossible to match associated offenses and related penalties.

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

ORCID iD

Gian Maria Campedelli D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7734-7956

References

- Akers, R. L. (1977). Deviant behavior: A social learning approach (2nd ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Arlacchi, P. (1992). Gli uomini del disonore: La mafia siciliana nella vita del grande pentito Antonino Calderone [Men of Dishonor: Inside the Sicilian Mafia: An Account of Antonino Calderone]. Milan, Italy: Mondadori.

Bandura, A. (1976). Social learning theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Beckley, A. L., Caspi, A., Harrington, H., Houts, R. M., Mcgee, T. R., Morgan, N., . . . Moffitt, T. E. (2016). Adult-onset offenders: Is a tailored theory warranted? *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 46, 64-81. doi:10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2016.03.001

- Berlusconi, G. (2014). Italian mafia. In G. Bruinsma & D. Weisburd (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of criminology and criminal justice* (pp. 2699-2706). New York, NY: Springer.
- Blokland, A. A. J., & Nieuwbeerta, P. (2005). The effects of life circumstances on longitudinal trajectories of offending. *Criminology*, 43, 1203-1240. doi:10.1111/ j.1745-9125.2005.00037.x
- Blokland, A. A. J., & Nieuwbeerta, P. (2010). Life course criminology. In S. G. Shoham, P. Knepper & M. Kett (Eds.), *International handbook of criminology* (pp. 51-93). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Blumstein, A., Cohen, J., & Farrington, D. P. (1988). Criminal career research: Its value for criminology. *Criminology*, 26, 1-35. doi:10.1111/j.1745-9125.1988.tb00829.x
- Blumstein, A., Cohen, J., Roth, J. A., & Visher, C. A. (1986). Criminal careers and "career criminals" (National Research Council (U.S.) panel on research on criminal careers). Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Brame, R., & Piquero, A. R. (2003). Selective attrition and the age-crime relationship. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 19, 107-127. doi:10.1023/A:1023009919637
- Cloward, R. A., & Ohlin, L. E. (1960). *Delinquency and opportunity: A theory of delinquent gangs*. New York, NY: Free Press.
- DeLisi, M., Kosloski, A. E., Drury, A. J., Vaughn, M. G., Beaver, K. M., Trulson, C. R., & Wright, J. P. (2011). Never desisters: A descriptive study of the life-course persistent offender. In M. DeLisi & K. M. Beaver (Eds.), *Criminological theory: A life-course approach* (pp. 241-256). Sudbury, MA: Jones & Bartlett.
- DeLisi, M., & Piquero, A. R. (2011). New frontiers in criminal careers research, 2000–2011: A state-of-the-art review. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 39, 289-301. doi:10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2011.05.001
- Eggleston, E. P., & Laub, J. H. (2002). The onset of adult offending: A neglected dimension of the criminal career. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 30, 603-622. doi:10.1016/S0047-2352(02)00193-9
- Ezell, M. E. (2007). Examining the overall and offense-specific criminal career lengths of a sample of serious offenders. *Crime & Delinquency*, 53, 3-37. doi:10.1177/0011128706294437
- Farrington, D. P. (1992). Criminal career research in the United Kingdom. *The British Journal of Criminology*, 32, 521-536.
- Farrington, D. P. (2003). Developmental and life-course criminology: Key theoretical and empirical issues-the 2002 Sutherland award address. *Criminology*, 41, 221-225. doi:10.1111/j.1745-9125.2003.tb00987.x
- Farrington, D. P., Gundry, G., & West, D. J. (1975). The familial transmission of criminality. *Medicine, Science and the Law*, 15, 177-186. doi:10.1177 /002580247501500306
- Farrington, D. P., MacLeod, J. F., & Piquero, A. R. (2016). Mathematical models of criminal careers. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 53, 336-355. doi:10.1177/0022427815620237
- Francis, B., Humphreys, L., Kirby, S., & Soothill, K. (2013). Understanding criminal careers in organised crime (Research Report 74). London, England: Home Office.

- Gambetta, D. (1993). *The Sicilian mafia: The business of private protection*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Hill, P. B. E. (2003). The Japanese mafia: Yakuza, law, and the state. Retrieved from http://gen.lib.rus.ec/book/index.php?md5=3FC80BF529DC5A238043E5B838B EB5BE
- Ianni, F. A. J., & Reuss-Ianni, E. (1972). A family business: Kinship and social control in organized crime. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Jennings, W. G., & Reingle, J. M. (2012). On the number and shape of developmental/life-course violence, aggression, and delinquency trajectories: A stateof-the-art review. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 40, 472-489. doi:10.1016/j. jcrimjus.2012.07.001
- Jolliffe, D., Farrington, D. P., Piquero, A. R., MacLeod, J. F., & van de Weijer, S. (2017). Prevalence of life-course-persistent, adolescence-limited, and late-onset offenders: A systematic review of prospective longitudinal studies. *Aggression* and Violent Behavior, 33, 4-14. doi:10.1016/j.avb.2017.01.002
- Jones, B. L., & Nagin, D. S. (2013). A note on a Stata Plugin for estimating groupbased trajectory models. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 42, 608-613. doi:10.1177/0049124113503141
- Kaplan, D. E., & Dubro, A. (2003). Yakuza: Japan's criminal underworld (Expanded edition). Berkeley: University of California Press. (Original work published 1986)
- Kleemans, E. R., & De Poot, C. J. (2008). Criminal careers in organized crime and social opportunity structure. *European Journal of Criminology*, 5, 69-98. doi:10.1177/1477370807084225
- Kleemans, E. R., & Van de Bunt, H. (1999). The social embeddedness of organized crime. *Transnational Organized Crime*, *5*, 19-36.
- Kleemans, E. R., & Van Koppen, M. V. (2014). Careers in organized crime. In D. Weisburd & G. Bruinsma (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of criminology and criminal justice* (pp. 285-295). New York, NY: Springer.
- Kleemans, E. R., Van Koppen, M. V., Van der Geest, V. R., Kruisbergen, E. W., & Madarie, D. R. (2017). *Report on criminal careers of OC offenders in context* (pp. 145-191) (Report on factors relating to OC). Retrieved from https://www. projectproton.eu/media-room/
- La Spina, A. (2004). The paradox of effectiveness: Growth, institutionalisation and evaluation of anti-mafia policies in Italy. In C. Fijnaut & L. Paoli (Eds.), *Organised crime in Europe: Concepts, patterns and control policies in the European Union and beyond* (pp. 641-675). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.
- La Spina, A. (2014). The fight against the Italian mafia. In L. Paoli (Ed.), *The Oxford* handbook of organized crime (pp. 593-611). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Laub, J. H., & Sampson, R. J. (1993). Turning points in the life course: Why change matters to the study of crime. *Criminology*, 31, 301-325. doi:10.1111 /j.1745-9125.1993.tb01132.x
- Laub, J. H., & Sampson, R. J. (2003). *Shared beginnings, divergent lives: Delinquent boys to age 70.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Liu, J., Francis, B., & Soothill, K. (2011). A longitudinal study of escalation in crime seriousness. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 27, 175-196. doi:10.1007/ s10940-010-9102-x

- McGee, T. R., & Farrington, D. P. (2010). Are there any true adult-onset offenders? *The British Journal of Criminology*, 50, 530-549. doi:10.1093/bjc/azq008
- Melde, C., & Esbensen, F.-A. (2011). Gang membership as a turning point in the life course. *Criminology*, 49, 513-552. doi:10.1111/j.1745-9125.2011.00227.x
- Melde, C., & Esbensen, F.-A. (2014). The relative impact of gang status transitions: Identifying the mechanisms of change in delinquency. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 51, 349-376. doi:10.1177/0022427813507059
- Moffitt, T. E. (1993). Adolescence-limited and life-course-persistent antisocial behavior: A developmental taxonomy. *Psychological Review*, 100, 674-701.
- Moffitt, T. E. (2006). Life-course-persistent versus adolescence-limited antisocial behavior. In D. Cicchetti & D. J. Cohen (Eds.), *Developmental psychopathology* (Vol. 3, pp. 570-598). New York, NY: John Wiley.
- Morgan, A., Brown, R., & Fuller, G. (2018). What are the taxpayer savings from cancelling the visas of organised crime offenders. Canberra, Australia: Australian Institute of Criminology.
- Morris, R. G., & Sayed, S. E. (2013). The development of self-reported whitecollar offending. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 29, 369-384. doi:10.1177/1043986213496180
- Nagin, D. S. (1999). Analyzing developmental trajectories: A semiparametric, group-based approach. *Psychological Methods*, 4, 139-157. doi:10.1037/1082-989X.4.2.139
- Nagin, D. S. (2005). Group-based modeling of development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Nagin, D. S. (2016). Group-based trajectory modeling and criminal career research. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 53, 356-371. doi:10.1177/0022427815611710
- Nagin, D. S., & Odgers, C. L. (2010). Group-based trajectory modeling (nearly) two decades later. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 26, 445-453. doi:10.1007/ s10940-010-9113-7
- Paoli, L. (1994). An underestimated criminal phenomenon: The Calabrian 'Ndrangheta. *European Journal of Crime, Criminal Law and Criminal Justice*, 2, 212-238.
- Paoli, L. (2003). Mafia brotherhoods: Organized crime, Italian style. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Piquero, A. R. (2008). Taking stock of developmental trajectories of criminal activity over the life course. In A. M. Liberman (Ed.), *The long view of crime: A synthesis* of longitudinal research (pp. 23-78). New York, NY: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-71165-2 2
- Piquero, A. R., Brame, R., & Lynam, D. (2004). Studying criminal career length through early adulthood among serious offenders. *Crime & Delinquency*, 50, 412-435. doi:10.1177/0011128703260333
- Piquero, A. R., Farrington, D. P., & Blumstein, A. (2003). The criminal career paradigm. *Crime and Justice*, 30, 359-506.
- Piquero, A. R., Oster, R. P., Mazerolle, P., Brame, R., & Dean, C. W. (1999). Onset age and offense specialization. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 36, 275-299. doi:10.1177/0022427899036003002

- Piquero, N. L., & Benson, M. L. (2004). White-collar crime and criminal careers: Specifying a trajectory of punctuated situational offending. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 20, 148-165. doi:10.1177/1043986204263770
- Piquero, N. L., Piquero, A. R., & Weisburd, D. (2016). Long-term effects of social and personal capital on offending trajectories in a sample of white-collar offenders. *Crime & Delinquency*, 62, 1510-1527. doi:10.1177/0011128714559770
- Piquero, N. L., & Weisburd, D. (2009). Developmental trajectories of white-collar crime. In S. S. Simpson & D. Weisburd (Eds.), *The criminology of white-collar crime* (pp. 153-171). New York, NY: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-09502-8 8
- Rhodes, W. (1989). The criminal career: Estimates of the duration and frequency of crime commission. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 5, 3-32.
- Sampson, R. J., & Laub, J. H. (2003). Life-course desisters? Trajectories of crime among delinquent boys followed to age 70. *Criminology*, 41, 555-592. doi:10.1111/j.1745-9125.2003.tb00997.x
- Sampson, R. J., & Laub, J. H. (2005a). A life-course view of the development of crime. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 602, 12-45.
- Sampson, R. J., & Laub, J. H. (2005b). Seductions of method: Rejoinder to Nagin and Tremblay's "developmental trajectory groups: Fact or fiction?." *Criminology*, 43, 905-913. doi:10.1111/j.1745-9125.2005.00027.x
- Savona, E. U., Calderoni, F., Campedelli, G. M., Comunale, T., Ferrarini, M., & Meneghini, C. (2017). *Recruitment into mafias: Criminal careers of mafia members and mafia bosses* (pp. 192-312) (Report on factors relating to OC). Retrieved from https://www.projectproton.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/D1.1-Reporton-factors-relating-to-OC.pdf
- Skardhamar, T. (2009). Reconsidering the theory on adolescent-limited and lifecourse persistent anti-social behaviour. *The British Journal of Criminology*, 49, 863-878. doi:10.1093/bjc/azp048
- Sohoni, T., Paternoster, R., McGloin, J. M., & Bachman, R. (2014). "Hen's teeth and horse's toes": The adult onset offender in criminology. *Journal of Crime and Justice*, 37, 155-172. doi:10.1080/0735648X.2012.759884
- Sullivan, C. J., Mcgloin, J. M., Pratt, T. C., & Piquero, A. R. (2006). Rethinking the "norm" of offender generality: Investigating specialization in the short-term. *Criminology*, 44, 199-233. doi:10.1111/j.1745-9125.2006.00047.x
- Sullivan, C. J., McGloin, J. M., Ray, J. V., & Caudy, M. S. (2009). Detecting specialization in offending: Comparing analytic approaches. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 25, 419-441. doi:10.1007/s10940-009-9074-x
- Sullivan, C. J., & Piquero, A. R. (2016). The criminal career concept: Past, present, and future. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 53, 420-442. doi:10.1177/0022427815627313
- Thornberry, T. P., Krohn, M. D., Lizotte, A. J., Smith, C. A., & Tobin, K. (2003). Gangs and delinquency in developmental perspective. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Van de Bunt, H., Siegel, D., & Zaitch, D. (2014). The social embeddedness of organized crime. In L. Paoli (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of organized crime*

(pp. 321-339). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. doi:10.1093/oxfor dhb/9780199730445.013.030

- Van Dijk, M., Kleemans, E., & Eichelsheim, V. (2018). Children of organized crime offenders: Like father, like child? An explorative and qualitative study into mechanisms of intergenerational (dis)continuity in organized crime families. *European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research*, 2018, 1-19. doi:10.1007/ s10610-018-9381-6
- Van Koppen, M. V., & de Poot, C. J. (2013). The truck driver who bought a café: Offenders on their involvement mechanisms for organized crime. *European Journal of Criminology*, 10, 74-88.
- Van Koppen, M. V., De Poot, C. J., & Blokland, A. A. J. (2010). Comparing criminal careers of organized crime offenders and general offenders. *European Journal of Criminology*, 7, 356-374.
- Van Koppen, M. V., De Poot, C. J., Kleemans, E. R., & Nieuwbeerta, P. (2010). Criminal trajectories in organized crime. *The British Journal of Criminology*, 50, 102-123. doi:10.1093/bjc/azp067
- Van Onna, J. H. R., Van der Geest, V. R., Huisman, W., & Denkers, A. J. M. (2014). Criminal trajectories of white-collar offenders. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 51, 759-784. doi:10.1177/0022427814531489
- Wang, P. (2017). The Chinese mafia: Organized crime, corruption, and extra-legal protection. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Weisburd, D., Chayet, E. F., & Waring, E. J. (1990). White-collar crime and criminal careers: Some preliminary findings. *Crime & Delinquency*, 36, 342-355. doi:10.1177/0011128790036003003
- Weisburd, D., & Waring, E. (2001). White-collar crime and criminal careers (1st ed.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Wright, K. A., Pratt, T. C., & DeLisi, M. (2008). Examining offending specialization in a sample of male multiple homicide offenders. *Homicide Studies*, 12, 381-398. doi:10.1177/1088767908323930

Author Biographies

Gian Maria Campedelli is a PhD candidate in Criminology at Università Cattolica (Milan, Italy) and researcher at Transcrime, the joint research centre on Transnational Crime of Università Cattolica, University of Bologna and University of Perugia. In 2018 he has been visiting research scholar at Carnegie Mellon University - School of Computer Science. His research areas include organized crime, life-course criminology and terrorism and he is interested in the study of crime through the application of advanced computational approaches derived from complex networks and statistical learning.

Francesco Calderoni is associate professor of Criminology at the Faculty of Social and Political Sciences at Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore of Milan. He also works as a researcher at Transcrime and he is the coordinator of the International Ph.D. program in Criminology at Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore. His areas of interest are organized crime, illicit trade in tobacco products, organized crime legislation, criminal justice reforms, crime proofing and social network analysis.

Tommaso Comunale is a PhD candidate in Criminology at Università Cattolica and researcher at Transcrime. In 2018-2019 he was a visiting researcher at the Netherlands Institute for the Study of Crime and Law Enforcement. His research interests include gangs, organized crime and other criminal networks, and crime prevention policies.

Cecilia Meneghini is a PhD candidate in Criminology at Università Cattolica and a researcher at Transcrime. She holds a Master's Degree in Economic and Social Sciences from Bocconi University in Milan. Her research interests include organized crime and criminal networks, life-course criminology, and the analysis of illicit markets and transnational illicit flows.