UNIVERSITA' CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE MILANO

Dottorato di Ricerca in Economia e Finanza dell'Amministrazione Pubblica

ciclo XXIV S.S.D: SECS-P/01, SECS-P/06

GOVERNANCE AND SELECTIVITY IN MULTILATERAL AID ALLOCATION

Tesi di Dottorato di: David Rinaldi Matricola: 3703810

Anno Accademico 2011/12



UNIVERSITA' CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE MILANO

Dottorato di Ricerca in Economia e Finanza dell'Amministrazione Pubblica

ciclo XXIV S.S.D: SECS-P/01, SECS-P/06

GOVERNANCE AND SELECTIVITY IN MULTILATERAL AID ALLOCATION

Coordinatore: Ch.mo Prof. Massimo Bordignon

Tesi di Dottorato di: David Rinaldi Matricola: 3703810

Anno Accademico 2011/12

Table of Contents

5
13
14
17
26
28
29
32
36
37
39
nce on 41
42
45
48
51
54
56
59
67

CHAPTER III - The Non-Increasing Selectivity of Multilateral Aid: 1980-2008	80
1. Introduction	81
2. Literature review	84
3. Data and Hypotheses	88
3.1 Variables on Poverty and Needs	92
3.2 Variables on Institutions	93
3.3 Variables on Policy	94
3.4 Variables on Political-Strategic Motives	95
3.5 Additional Covariates	97
4. Methodology	99
4.1 The Timeline	02
5. Results	03
5.1 Selectivity on Poverty and Needs	07
5.2 Selectivity on Institutions	12
5.3 Selectivity on Policies	12
5.4 Selectivity on Political-Strategic Variables	13
5.5 Results by Agency	15
6. Conclusions	17
7. Reference1	20
8. Appendix	26
Concluding Remarks and Research Agenda	35
Acknowledgements and Disclaimer	38

CHAPTER I

Contracting for Aid: a Review of the Theoretical Background

Abstract

Aid goes to poor countries to help them escape from poverty, but in the presence of non-benevolent leaders who have an interest to maintain high levels of foreign assistance to increase their private rent, the reform effort towards developmental policies is sub-optimal. This chapter reviews the theoretical literature devoted to the study of the incentive problems of aid allocation and to the definition of optimal aid contracts. The chapter will identify areas of potential improvement in literature modelling aid as targeted for multiple objectives. When aid is linked to the governance level, besides than to the poverty level, of the recipient country, the endogeneity of poverty and corruption related issues has not yet been taken into consideration. Moreover, the political economy of the donor has not yet been integrated as a pivotal feature of aid models.

Keywords: Aid Allocation, Governance, Moral Hazard, Aid Contest, Poverty Trap

CHAPTER II

Do International Organizations Care About the Recipients' Performance on Governance?

Evidence from Multilateral Aid Allocation

Abstract

The aim of the study is to check whether the emphasis on good governance by multilateral agencies is reflected in aid allocation decisions.

We study if and how informal governance determines multilateral aid allocations with a panel of 115 countries over the period 1997-2009. The endogeneity of aid on governance is tackled with a set of instruments including ethnic fractionalization and the legal origin of the recipient country, in a GMM-Diff setting.

Despite the leading role of public governance in determining the success of development policies and the commitment of some donors to more selective aid, there is still no evidence that multilateral aid allocation takes good governance into account at the aggregate level. However, there is evidence that aid increases with governance up to the third governance quartile and that concessional loans are responsive to governance levels.

Keywords: Aid Allocation, Good Governance, Multilateral Aid, Ethnic Fractionalization

CHAPTER III

The Non-Increasing Selectivity of Multilateral Aid: 1980-2008

Abstract

This study explores how the selectivity of multilateral aid varies over time. We employ a three-dimensional panel ranging from 1980 to 2008 with 127 recipient countries and 4 multilateral bodies: the World Bank (WB) IDA, the IMF, the United Nations, and the European Commission. The aim of the paper is twofold: first, we provide a scrutiny of the three selectivity criteria most often addressed in the literature, i) selectivity on poverty and needs, ii) selectivity on democratic institutions, iii) selectivity on economic policies. Secondly, we evaluate the variation over time of donors' political-strategic motives by studying the correlation between aid and the UN General Assembly voting alignment and Security Council membership.

At the aggregate level, we find no change in the responsiveness to poverty, whereas there is a decrease in the emphasis on which sound institutions and policies are targeted from 1998 onward. Moreover, an intensification of the political economy motives behind multilateral aid allocation is displayed. The general figures are mostly driven by the EC and the UN, while the WB IDA exhibits increasing selectivity on democracy and, together with the IMF concessional lending is nowadays less concerned with political-strategic motives. Results are robust to static and dynamic fixed effects, random effect Tobit, and GMM Sys models.

Keywords: Aid Allocation, Selectivity, Multilateral Aid, Voting Alignment,