

Correction

# Correction: Rizzo et al. Artificial Intelligence and OCT Angiography in Full Thickness Macular Hole. New Developments for Personalized Medicine. *Diagnostics* 2021, 11, 2319

Stanislao Rizzo <sup>1,2,3,†</sup>, Alfonso Savastano <sup>1,2,†</sup>, Jacopo Lenkowitz <sup>4,\*</sup>, Maria Cristina Savastano <sup>1,2</sup> , Luca Boldrini <sup>2,4</sup> , Daniela Bacherini <sup>5</sup> , Benedetto Falsini <sup>1,2</sup>  and Vincenzo Valentini <sup>2,4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Ophthalmology Unit, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, 00168 Rome, Italy; stanislao.rizzo@gmsil.com (S.R.); asavastano21@gmail.com (A.S.); mariacristina.savastano@gmail.com (M.C.S.); benedetto.falsini@unicatt.it (B.F.)
- <sup>2</sup> Ophthalmology Unit, Catholic University “Sacro Cuore”, 00168 Rome, Italy; luca.boldrini@policlinicogemelli.it (L.B.); vincenzo.valentini@policlinicogemelli.it (V.V.)
- <sup>3</sup> Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Istituto di Neuroscienze, 56024 Pisa, Italy
- <sup>4</sup> Radiation Oncology Unit, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario “A. Gemelli” IRCCS, 00168 Rome, Italy
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Neurosciences, Psychology, Drug Research and Child Health Eye Clinic, University of Florence, AOU Careggi, 50139 Florence, Italy; daniela.bacherini@gmail.com
- \* Correspondence: jacopo.lenkowitz@policlinicogemelli.it
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.



**Citation:** Rizzo, S.; Savastano, A.; Lenkowitz, J.; Savastano, M.C.; Boldrini, L.; Bacherini, D.; Falsini, B.; Valentini, V. Correction: Rizzo et al. Artificial Intelligence and OCT Angiography in Full Thickness Macular Hole. New Developments for Personalized Medicine.

*Diagnostics* 2021, 11, 2319. *Diagnostics* 2022, 12, 1593. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12071593>

Received: 9 June 2022

Accepted: 21 June 2022

Published: 30 June 2022

**Publisher’s Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## Figure Legend

In the original publication [1], there was a mistake in the legend for Figure 8. Values for C1 and C2 were inverted. The correct legend appears below.

Figure 8 legend becomes:

Clustering analysis from Inception V3 deep learning features based on combined superficial and deep OCT-As. The mean 1-year BVCA for C1 and C2 was 66.67 and 49.1, respectively, with a *t*-test *p*-value equal to 0.005.

## Text Correction

There was an error in the original publication. Values for C1 and C2 were inverted.

A correction has been made to Abstract, sentence: best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) C1 = 49.10 (18.60 SD) and BCVA C2 = 66.67 (16.00 SD),  $p = 0.005$

The sentence becomes:

best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) C1 = 66.67 (16.00 SD) and BCVA C2 = 49.10 (18.60 SD),  $p = 0.005$ ).

A correction has been made to Results, Paragraph 9, sentence: In this configuration, the mean of letters for C1 and C2 was 49.1 and 66.67, respectively, with a *t*-test *p*-value equal to 0.005 (Figure 8)

The sentence becomes:

In this configuration, the mean of letters for C1 and C2 was 66.67 and 49.1, respectively, with a *t*-test *p*-value equal to 0.005 (Figure 8).

## Error in Table

In the original publication, there was a mistake in Table 2 as published. Values for C1 and C2 were inverted. The corrected Table 2 appears below.

**Table 2.** Distribution of 1-year visual acuity score in the two image clusters for the different CNN types. \*  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p < 0.01$ .

CNN Type	Image Type	1-Year Visual Acuity Mean (Standard Deviation)—Cluster 1	1-Year Visual Acuity Mean (Standard Deviation)—Cluster 2	<i>t</i> -Test <i>p</i> -Value
Inception V3	Superficial Images	59.64 (18.40)	51.52 (20.50)	0.252
	Deep Images	61.70 (17.20)	49.87 (20.50)	0.081
	Superficial + Deep Images	66.67 (16.00)	49.10 (18.60)	0.005 **
VGG-16	Superficial Images	62.29 (15.90)	52.86 (20.80)	0.139
	Deep Images	59.96 (17.6)	43.29 (21.40)	0.092
	Superficial + Deep Images	63.85 (15.40)	52.36 (20.50)	0.070
VGG-19	Superficial Images	67.80 (11.90)	52.16 (20.20)	0.008 **
	Deep Images	60.50 (18.20)	45.44 (19.20)	0.060
	Superficial + Deep Images	59.92 (14.00)	54.91 (21.70)	0.416
SqueezeNet	Superficial Images	59.03 (18.00)	45.00 (22.40)	0.196
	Deep Images	-	-	-
	Superficial + Deep Images	66.90 (13.4)	52.52 (20.10)	0.021 *

The authors apologize for any inconvenience caused and state that the scientific conclusions are unaffected. This correction was approved by the Academic Editor. The original publication has also been updated.

## Reference

1. Rizzo, S.; Savastano, A.; Lenkowicz, J.; Savastano, M.C.; Boldrini, L.; Bacherini, D.; Falsini, B.; Valentini, V. Artificial Intelligence and OCT Angiography in Full Thickness Macular Hole. New Developments for Personalized Medicine. *Diagnostics* **2021**, *11*, 2319. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]