UNIVERSITA' CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE MILANO

Dottorato di ricerca in criminologia ciclo XXX

S.S.D: SPS/12 - Sociologia giuridica, della devianza e mutamento sociale; SPS/04 - Scienza politica; SECS-S/05 - Statistica sociale.

VIOLENT CULTURES AND LIMITED STATEHOOD: HOW TRENDS IN WARFARE AND TERRORISM INFLUENCE HOMICIDE TRENDS

Tesi di Dottorato di: Alexander Kamprad

Matricola: 4411787

Anno Accademico 2016 / 2017



Dottorato di ricerca in criminologia ciclo XXX

S.S.D: SPS/12 - Sociologia giuridica, della devianza e mutamento sociale; SPS/04 - Scienza politica; SECS-S/05 - Statistica sociale.

VIOLENT CULTURES AND LIMITED STATEHOOD: HOW TRENDS IN WARFARE AND TERRORISM INFLUENCE HOMICIDE TRENDS

Coordinatore: Ch.mo Prof. Francesco Calderoni

Tesi di Dottorato di: Alexander Kamprad

Matricola: 4411787

Anno Accademico 2016 / 2017

Abstract

Based on the cross-national analyses of the effects of collective violence (warfare and terrorism) on homicide rates, my Ph.D. research contributes to the opening of criminological homicide studies towards an integrated perspective on violence. The main original finding is that both terrorism and various forms of warfare (e.g. ethnic, civil and international wars) are robustly and positively associated with homicide. These findings are based on the calculation of a series of fixed-effects models on a panel that incorporates more than 100 countries over more than 20 years since 1990. The results lend tentative support to the so-called 'legitimation of violence' and 'legitimation-habituation' hypotheses that have been formulated in regard to the cross-national effects of nation-wars on homicide rates, and to the effects of terror attacks and prolonged states of belligerence on homicide rates in Israel, respectively. Both hypotheses suggest that collective violence bears a positive causal effect on homicide, but the topic has been largely neglected in criminological research since the original formulation of the hypotheses more than 30 years ago. This study concludes that a causal influence of collective violence on homicide is likely, but cannot conclusively be proven within the confines of a cross-national research design. From a theoretical perspective, relevant criminological frameworks should be enriched with two distinct concepts from political science which allow to situate the hypotheses within a larger framework of 'culture of violence' and 'governance in areas of limited statehood'.

Riassunto

Sulla base delle analisi transnazionali degli effetti della violenza collettiva (guerra e terrorismo) sui tassi di omicidi, la mia ricerca di dottorato contribuisce all' apertura di studi criminologici sull' omicidio verso una prospettiva integrata sulla violenza. La principale scoperta originale è che sia il terrorismo che le varie forme di guerra (ad esempio guerre etniche, civili e internazionali) sono associate in modo forte e positivo all' omicidio. Queste conclusioni si basano sul calcolo di una serie di modelli ad effetti fissi su un panel che comprende più di 100 paesi in oltre 20 anni dal 1990. I risultati danno un sostegno provvisorio alle ipotesi di "legittimazione della violenza" e "legittimazione-abituazione" formulate per quanto riguarda gli effetti transnazionali delle guerre nazionali sui tassi di omicidi, e gli effetti degli attentati terroristici e dei prolungati stati di belligeranza sui tassi di omicidi in Israele, rispettivamente. Entrambe le ipotesi suggeriscono che la violenza collettiva abbia un effetto causale positivo sull' omicidio, ma il tema è stato ampiamente trascurato nella ricerca criminologica fin dalla formulazione originale delle ipotesi più di 30 anni fa. Questo studio conclude che l'influenza causale della violenza collettiva sull'omicidio è probabile, ma non può essere dimostrata in modo definitivo entro i confini di un disegno di ricerca transnazionale. Da un punto di vista teorico, i quadri criminologici rilevanti dovrebbero essere arricchiti da due concetti distinti della scienza politica che permettono di collocare le ipotesi in un quadro più ampio di "cultura della violenza" e di "governance in aree di limitata statualità".

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to Marieke Liem (Leiden University) and Francesco Calderoni (Catholic University Milan) who acted as co-tutors for my dissertation. Their comments and support were invaluable in finding the right approach to the topic at hand, overcoming obstacles throughout the research process, and eventually improving the manuscript.

I would also like to thank all of my colleagues at Transcrime and at the Catholic University of Milan for being so welcoming and providing such an interesting and vibrant research environment during the past three years.

Table of Contents

Abstract	3
Acknowledgements	4
Table of Contents	5
List of Figures	8
List of Tables	9
List of Acronyms	10
Introduction	11
CHAPTER I. Theoretical background	
I.1. Definitions of crime and criminology	
I.2. Criminology of homicide and violence	22
I.3. Criminology and terrorism	26
I.4. Violence in psychology and public health	27
I.5. Violence in political science and international relations	30
I.6. Violence in peace and conflict studies	35
I.7. Differing concepts of violence	38
CHAPTER II. The effects of warfare and terrorism on crime	40
II.1. Early works on the effects of warfare on crime	40
II.2. The 'legitimation of violence'/'legitimation-habituation' framework	41
II.3. Other contributions	44
II.4. The effects of terrorism on homicide	47
II.5. Research problem and research questions	50
CHAPTER III. Methods	54
III.1. Hypotheses	54
III.2. Definitions	55
III.3. Sampling	57
III.3.1. Homicide data	58
III.3.2. Warfare and major violence data	59
III.3.3. Terrorism data	59
III.3.4. Socioeconomic, population-based and polity (autocracy/democracy) da	ta60
III.3.5. Data limitations and structure of final dataset	60
III.4. Analytical strategy	62
III.4.1. Treatment of variables	62
III.4.2. Regression analyses	63
III.4.3. Summaries of trends in homicide, terrorism, warfare and major violence	e 65
CHAPTER IV. Homicide trends and their association with economic and variables	• •
IV.1. Varying sources of homicide data	
IV 2 Homicide trends	

IV.2.1.	Homicide trends in Europe	73
IV.2.2.	Homicide trends in the Americas	75
IV.2.3.	Homicide trends in Asia	79
IV.2.4.	Homicide trends in Africa	82
IV.2.5.	Homicide trends in Oceania	84
IV.3. Ass	ociations between homicide rates and economic and population-based indicators	86
IV.3.1.	Correlations between the dependent and the control variables	87
IV.3.2.	Partial models on the effects of socioeconomic and population-based indicators on homicide rat	es 90
IV.3.3.	Full models on the effects of socioeconomic and population-based indicators on homicide rates .	93
IV.3.4.	Regional models on the effects of socioeconomic and population-based indicators on homicide r	
CHAPTER V.	The effects of terrorism on homicide	
V.1. Glo	bal and regional trends in terrorism (1970-2014)	97
V.1.1.	Global terrorism trends	97
V.1.2.	Disaggregated terrorism trends in selected countries with low and high exposure to terrorism	102
V.1.3.	Terrorism trends in Europe	106
V.1.4.	Terrorism trends in the Americas	110
V.1.5.	Terrorism trends in Asia	114
V.1.6.	Terrorism trends in Africa	118
V.2. Ana	alyses on the effects of terrorism on homicide	123
V.2.1.	Correlations between the dependent and independent variables and different indicators of terro	
V.2.2.	Partial models on the effect of terrorism on homicide	126
V.2.3.	Full models on the effect of terrorism on homicide	130
V.2.4.	Regional models on the effect of terrorism on homicide	133
V.2.5.	Lagged models on the effect of terrorism on homicide	134
V.2.6.	Full models on the effects of terrorism on homicide in countries without occurrences of warfare	138
CHAPTER VI.	The effects of warfare and other episodes of major violence on homicide	142
VI.1. Tre	nds in warfare and major violence	142
VI.1.1.	Global trends in warfare and major violence (1950-2012)	142
VI.1.2.	European trends in warfare and major violence (1950-2012)	150
VI.1.3.	American trends in warfare and major violence (1950-2012)	154
VI.1.4.	Asian trends in warfare and major violence (1950-2012)	159
VI.1.5.	African trends in warfare and major violence	164
VI.2. And	alyses of the effects of warfare and major violence on homicide	169
VI.2.1.	Correlations between the dependent and independent variables	169
VI.2.2.	Partial models on the effect of warfare on homicide	171
VI.2.3.	Full models on the effect of warfare on homicide	175
VI.2.4.	Regional models on the effect of warfare on homicide	178
VI.2.5.	Lagged models on the effect of warfare on homicide	178
VI.2.6.	Full model on the effect of major violence other than warfare on homicide	181
VI 2 7	Combined model on the effect of warfare and major violence on homicide	183

CHAPTER VI	ll. Discussion	188
VII.1.	Main findings	188
VII.1.1.	Effects of terrorism on homicide	189
VII.1.2.	Effects of warfare and other major violence on homicide	191
VII.2.	Other findings	193
VII.3.	Limitations	195
VII.3.1.	Availability and quality of data	195
VII.3.2.	Omitted variables bias	196
VII.3.3.	Reverse causality and simultaneity	197
VII.4.	Interpretation of findings in light of 'legitimation of violence'/'legitimation-habituation'	197
VII.5.	Cultural understandings of violence	202
VII.6.	Reasoning about mediators of the effect of collective violence on homicide	205
VII.7.	Violence and limited statehood	207
VII.8.	Policy relevance	210
Conclusions		213
References.		216

List of Figures

Figure II-1 Scheme of the research question	
Figure IV-1 Correlogram of homicide rates from Clio-Infra, UNODC and the WHO	66
Figure IV-2 Scatterplot matrix of homicide data from Clio-Infra, UNODC and the WHO	
Figure IV-3 Clustered homicide rate and availability of homicide data for different world regions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-4 Clustered homicide rates for different world regions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-5 Clustered homicide rate and availability of homicide data for OECD countries (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-6 Homicide rates for selected OECD countries (1950-2014)	72
Figure IV-7 Clustered homicide rate for Europe and availability of homicide data for European subregions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-8 Clustered homicide rates for European subregions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-9 Clustered homicide rate for the Americas and availability of homicide data for American subregions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-10 Clustered homicide rates for American subregions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-11 Homicide rates for selected American countries (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-12 Clustered homicide rate for Asia and availability of homicide data for Asian subregions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-13 Clustered homicide rates for Asian subregions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-14 Homicide rates for selected Asian countries (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-15 Clustered homicide rate for Africa and availability of homicide data for African subregions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-16 Homicide rates for selected African countries (2000-2014)	
Figure IV-17 Clustered homicide rate for Oceania and availability of homicide data for Oceanian subregions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-18 Clustered homicide rates for Oceanian subregions (1950-2014)	
Figure IV-19 Density plots of the untransformed and log-transformed homicide rate	
Figure IV-20 Correlogram of the homicide rate and control variables	89
Figure V-1 Global counts of terror attacks, terror-related deaths, and the deaths per attack ratio (1970-2014)	
Figure V-2 Global counts of victims/terrorists killed in attacks and percentages of killed terrorist per killed victims (1970-2014)	99
Figure V-3 Percentages of international attacks, extended attacks and suicide attacks (1970-2014)	100
Figure V-4 Clustered counts of terror-related deaths in different world regions (1970-2014)	
Figure V-5 Counts of terror-related deaths in selected OECD countries (1970-2014)	102
Figure V-6 Counts of terror-related deaths in selected countries with high exposure to terrorism (1970-2014)	103
Figure V-7 Terrorism mortality rate (per 100,000 inh.) in selected OECD countries (1970-2014)	104
Figure V-8 Terrorism mortality rate (per 100,000 inh.) in selected countries with high exposure to terrorism (1970-2014)	106
Figure V-9 Clustered counts of terror attacks, terror-related deaths, and the deaths per attack ratio in Europe (1970-2014)	
Figure V-10 Terrorism mortality rate (per 100,000 inh.) in selected European countries (1970-2014)	108
Figure V-11 Clustered counts of terror attacks, terror-related deaths, and the deaths per attack ratio in the Americas (1970-2014)	
Figure V-12 Terrorism mortality rate (per 100,000 inh.) in selected American countries (1970-2014)	
Figure V-13 Clustered counts of terror attacks, terror-related deaths, and the deaths per attack ratio in Asia (1970-2014)	115
Figure V-14 Terrorism mortality rate (per 100,000 inh.) in selected Asian countries (1970-2014)	
Figure V-15 Clustered counts of terror attacks, terror-related deaths, and the deaths per attack ratio in Africa (1970-2014)	119
Figure V-16 Terrorism mortality rate (per 100,000 inh.) in selected African countries (1970-2014)	
Figure V-17 Correlogram of indicators of terrorism	
Figure V-18 Correlogram of homicide rates, terrorism mortality rate and control variables	125
Figure V-19 Scatterplot of homicide rate (log) vs. terrorism mortality rate (log)	126
Figure VI-1 Global magnitude scores of warfare (1950-2012)	
Figure VI-2 Clustered magnitude scores of independence warfare in different world regions, and the number of states pertaining to the i	
system (1950-2012)	145
Figure VI-3 Clustered magnitude scores for warfare in different world regions (1950-2012)	146
Figure VI-4 Global magnitude scores of major violence other than warfare (1950-2012)	148
Figure VI-5 Clustered magnitude scores of major violence other than warfare in different world regions (1950-2012)	149
Figure VI-6 Clustered magnitude scores of warfare in Europe (1950-2012)	151
Figure VI-7 Clustered magnitude scores of warfare in European subregions (1950-2012)	152
Figure VI-8 Clustered magnitude scores of violence other than warfare in Europe (1950-2012)	153
Figure VI-9 Clustered magnitude scores of warfare in the Americas (1950-2012)	155
Figure VI-10 Clustered magnitude scores of warfare in American subregions (1950-2012)	156
Figure VI-11 Clustered magnitude scores of violence other than warfare in the Americas (1950-2012)	157
Figure VI-12 Clustered magnitude scores of violence other than warfare in American subregions (1950-2012)	158
Figure VI-13 Clustered magnitude scores of warfare in Asia (1950-2012)	160
Figure VI-14 Clustered magnitude scores of warfare in Asian subregions (1950-2012)	161
Figure VI-15 Clustered magnitude scores of violence other than warfare in Asia (1950-2012)	162
Figure VI-16 Clustered magnitude scores of violence other than warfare in Asian subregions (1950-2012)	
Figure VI-17 Clustered magnitude scores of warfare in Africa (1950-2012)	
Figure VI-18 Clustered magnitude scores of warfare in African subregions (1950-2012)	
Figure VI-19 Clustered magnitude scores of violence other than warfare in Africa (1950-2012)	
Figure VI-20 Clustered magnitude scores of violence other than warfare in African subregions (1950-2012)	
Figure VI-21 Correlogram of indicators of violence	
Figure VI-22 Correlogram of homicide, warfare and major violence, and control variables	
Figure VI-23 Scatterplot of magnitude scores of warfare/violence against the homicide rate	

List of Tables

Table III-1 Data sources	57
Table III-2 Number of available observations for the homicide rate and all independent variables	
Table III-3 Number of complete observations for calculating the effects of terrorism, major violence and control variables on homicide rates	s 62
Table IV-1 Summary statistics of homicide rates from different sources	
Table IV-2 Top 5 of homicide rates in Europe (1950-2014)	75
Table IV-3 Top 5 homicide rates in the Americas (1950-2014)	78
Table IV-4 Top 5 homicide rates in Asia (1950-2014)	81
Table IV-5 Top 5 homicide rates in Africa (1950-2014)	
Table IV-6 Summary statistics for the homicide rate (untransformed and log)	
Table IV-7 Fixed-effects panel models (individual/reg. SE): Homicide rate ← socioeconomic control variables	
Table IV-8 Various panel models: Homicide rate ← socioeconomic control variables	
Table IV-9 Fixed-effects panel models (individual/reg. SE): Homicide rate ← population-based control variables	
Table IV-10 Various panel models: Homicide rate ← population-based control variables	
Table IV-11 Fixed-effects panel models (individual/two-ways − reg./rob. SE): Homicide rate ← all control variables	
Table IV-12 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – rob. SE) by world region: Homicide rate ← all control variables	96
Table V-1 Summary statistics of key indicators of terrorism in Europe	
Table V-2 Top 5 country-year observations of terrorism in Europe (number of victims killed)	
Table V-3 Top 5 country-year observations of terrorism in Europe (terrorism mortality rate)	
Table V-4 Summary statistics of key indicators of terrorism in the Americas	
Table V-5 Top 5 country-year observations of terrorism in the Americas (terrorism mortality rate)	
Table V-6 Top 5 country-year observations of terrorism in the Americas (number of victims killed)killed	
Table V-7 Summary statistics of key indicators of terrorism in Asia	
Table V-8 Top 5 country-year observations of terrorism in Asia (number of victims killed)	
Table V-9 Top 5 country-year observations of terrorism in Asia (terrorism mortality rate)	
Table V-10 Summary statistics of key indicators of terrorism in Africa	
Table V-11 Top 5 country-year observations of terrorism in Africa (number of victims killed)	
Table V-12 Top 5 country-year observations of terrorism in Africa (terrorism mortality rate)	
Table V-13 Summary statistics of the terrorism mortality rate (untransformed and log)	
Table V-14 Fixed-effects panel models (individual/reg. SE): Homicide rate ← terrorism mortality rate, socioeconomic control variables	
Table V-15 Various panel models: Homicide rate ← terrorism mortality rate, socioeconomic control variables	
Table V-16 Fixed-effects panel models (individual/reg. SE): Homicide rate ← terrorism mortality rate, population-based control variables	
Table V-17 Various panel models: Homicide rate ← terrorism mortality rate, population based control variables	
Table V-18 Fixed-effects panel models (individual – reg./rob. SE): Homicide rate ← terrorism mortality rate, all control variables	
Table V-19 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE): Homicide rate ← terrorism mortality rate, all control variables	
Table V-20 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE) by world region: Homicide rate ← terrorism mortality rate, all control variations (200 miles) in the control variation (200 miles)	
Table V-21 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE): Lagged homicide rate (1Y) ← terrorism mortality rate, all control variables	
Table V-22 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE) by world region: Lagged homicide rate (1Y) ← terrorism mortality rate, a	
variables	
Table V-23 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE) for country-years without warfare: Homicide rate ← terrorism mortalit	
control variables	
mortality rate, all control variables	
Table VI-1 Summary statistics for different types of warfare and other major violence	
Table VI-2 Summary statistics for different types of warfare and other major violence in Europe (1950-2012)	
Table VI-3 Summary statistics for different types of warfare and other major violence in the Americas (1950-2012)	
Table VI-4 Summary statistics for different types of warfare and other major violence in Asia (1950-2012)	
Table VI-5 Summary statistics for different types of warfare and other major violence in Africa (1950-2012)	
Table VI-6 Fixed-effects panel models (individual/reg. SE): Homicide rate ← warfare magnitude score, socioeconomic control variables	
Table VI-7 Various panel models: Homicide rate ← warfare magnitude score, socioeconomic control variables	
Table VI-8 Fixed-effects panel models (individual/reg. SE): Homicide rate ← warfare magnitude score, population-based control variables	
Table VI-9 Various models: Homicide rate ← warfare magnitude score, population-based control variables	
Table VI-10 Fixed-effects panel models (individual – reg./rob. SE): Homicide rate ← warfare magnitude score, all control variables	
Table VI-11 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE): Homicide rate ← warfare magnitude score, all control variables	
Table VI-12 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE): Lagged homicide rate (1Y) ← warfare magnitude score, all control variable Table VI-13 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE): Lagged homicide rate (5Y average) ← warfare magnitude score, all control	
Table VI-13 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE): Lagged nomicide rate (5Y average) — warrare magnitude score, all control	
Table VI-14 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE): Homicide rate ← major violence, all control variables	
Table VI-14 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE): Homicide rate ← major Violence, all control variables	
Table VI-16 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE): Homicide rate ← warfare + terrorism, all control variables	
Table VI-17 Fixed-effects panel models (two-ways – reg./rob. SE): Homicide rate ← warfare/major violence + terrorism, all control variables	
rable vi-1/ rived-effects pariet filodets (two-ways = reg./10b. 3b.). Holfillide late ' Wallale/Hidjor violetice + terrorism, all control validables	, ±0/

List of Acronyms

ANC African National Congress
CSP Center for Systemic Peace
ETA Euskadi Ta Askatasuna
EU European Union

FDN Fuerza Democrática Nicaragüense

FMLN Frente Farabundo Martí para la Liberación Nacional

FPR Front patriotique rwandais

FRUD Front for the Restoration of Unity and Democracy

FSLN Frente Sandinista de Liberación Nacional

GAM General Aggression Model
GDP Gross Domestic Product
GTD Global Terrorism Database

ICD International Classification of Diseases

IFP Inkatha Freedom Party

INSCR Integrated Network for Societal Conflict Research

IR International Relations
IRA Irish Republican Army

MEPV Major Episodes of Political Violence
MKO Mujahedin-e Khalq Organization
NATO North Atlantic Treaty Organization

NPA New People's Army OC Organized Crime

OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

OLS Ordinary Least Squares

OSCE Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe

PRIO Peace Research Institute Oslo

SE Standard Error

START National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism

UMD University of Maryland

UN United Nations

UN DESA United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs
UNHCR United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

UNODC United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

WDI World Development Indicators
WHO World Health Organization

"Oh dear, I never realized what a terrible lot of explaining one has to do in a murder!" Agatha Christie, Spider's Web (by character Clarissa Hailsham-Brown) (1954)

Introduction

Nearly half a million people fell victim to homicide in 2012, accounting for a rate of 6.2 per 100.000. As compared to industrialized parts of the world, many transitional and developing countries experience severely elevated rates. Homicide in those countries often relates to varying forms of collective violence. This marks an important difference from regions with comparatively low homicide rates. Latin America is the region most affected by homicide, followed by Africa. Central America and Southern Africa are the most affected sub-regions. National rates reach up to 90,4 (Honduras, highest in the world). Rates above 20 are thereby classified as "high" (UNODC 2013, 12).

Many countries look at staggering scenarios of violence and insecurity that are driven by a complex interplay of interpersonal violence, warfare, organized crime/terrorism and security interventions. In regard to rising homicide rates in Northern Africa, Southern Asia and Eastern Africa, for example, the global homicide study notes that they are most likely "a result of political violence which may in turn foster lethal violence related to criminal activities" (ibid., 13). In policy documents, but also in the scientific literature, such statements on links between different forms of violence are frequently made. However, little empirical knowledge is available as to whether this link does indeed exist, and if so, how strong it is.

A variety of countries give good examples of settings where crime and conflict are hard to distinguish. On a global scale, this is by no means an exceptional phenomenon. It poses a severe challenge, however, firstly to the people affected by violence and secondly to policy makers and researchers alike. International organizations increasingly point to the link between insecurity and socioeconomic development (ibid., 25). Widespread crime and criminal violence, as compared to traditional concepts of conflict, are thereby more and more recognized

¹ Unless declared otherwise, numbers here and hereafter are from UNODC's Global Study on Homicide 2013

as highly destabilizing factors. This regards the role of crime during conflict, crime as conflict and the significant harm crime produces without being labeled as conflict.²

From a researcher's point of view, several aspects of this are challenging. As a rather practical problem, widespread impunity and lack of professional policing have resulted in generally distorted official accounts and statistics, even more so during times of conflict. This complicates empirical research in the field. Equally challenging, however, is that the ambiguity of crime and conflict in transitional and devlopmental contexts bears a conceptual and disciplinary problem. Traditionally, criminology deals with the study of "normal" crime. For a long time, also international criminology has only been international in the sense that it compared "normal" crime between countries. This links to a discursive divide that is somewhat constitutive to criminology, namely between internal security on one side and external and national security on the other.³

From a Durkheimian perspective, crime is "normal" and as such poses no threat to the state (see Durkheim 2013, 97 ff.; also see Dentler and Erikson 1959; Borch 2014, 48).4 On the contrary, while crime needs to be managed, it may also be productive in contributing to processes that foster societal advancement. An enemy of the state, on the other hand, triggers the notion of a breakdown of public order (see Vittinghoff 1936). He makes for a conflict party that puts the very existence of the state in jeopardy. This is not "normal" but "exceptional" and comes with a distinct legal order: the state of exception (Cf. Huysmans 2008; Aradau and Munster 2009). Hence, the heavier the crime or the more external its roots, the more it becomes a matter of national security in discursive terms.

This certainly holds true for terrorism. It was not until the attacks of September 11th that criminology caught on widely to the subject. Prior to that, domestic terrorism was almost exclusively a matter of political science, and international terrorism a matter of international relations and security studies (See Rosenfeld 2004; Hamm 2007). This was even more so the

² The significant reduction of "all forms of violence and related death rates everywhere" has meanwhile made it to the UN's sustainable development goals (SDG 16) -- https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/peacejustice/, accessed 23/11/2016

³ On the blurring of the divide see Bigo (2001)

⁴ While Durkheim emphasized the 'societal' normality of crime, Borch points to the "shift away from homo criminalis" related to the emergence of Rational Choice Criminology in the 1970s, esp. Gary Becker's work (G. S. Becker 1968). Also see Hulsman (1986) on the normality of crime.

⁵ Vittinghoff describes the historical origins of the 'enemy of the state' by example of demnatio memoriae in Ancient Rome – in German)

case for warfare which is a traditional domain of international relations. With the increasing development of an international criminal law since the 1990s, however, matters of warfare have also evolved as a criminological topic.

In any case, both terrorism and warfare are rather still at the sidelines of the criminological discourse. Organized crime, to the contrary, is a more traditional field of criminology. The criminological focus in studying organized crime, however, has rarely been on violence. For many years, organized crime was partly negated and partly considered as "normal", especially in the form of white-collar crime and gangs. Where crime escalated to a degree as to threatening the state with wide-spread violence, it grew out of reach of mainstream criminology to turn into a subject for legal studies and sociology (Osorio 2012, 3). Correspondingly, when looking into the legal history of some jurisdictions (e.g. Germany and Austria), it becomes apparent that offenses relating to organized crime descend from crimes of association that were introduced in the 19th century. They did not criminalize criminal organizations in a narrow sense but secret associations that were considered threatening to the state for being "subversive". Provisions on both, terrorism and organized crime, developed out of such crimes of association. Eventually, the "discovery" of organized crime as a transnational security threat, with the milestone of the adoption of the UN Convention on Transnational Organized Crime (2000), reinforced criminological interest on the subject. It also put organized crime on the agenda of IR/Security Studies which yielded somewhat contested concepts such as narcoterrorism and criminal insurgency—or more generally the crime-terror continuum (Makarenko 2004; Makarenko 2012).8

The confrontation of the aforementioned discursive divide between internal and external security on one hand, and the ambiguity of crime and conflict in transitional and developing contexts on the other, has thus produced loose ends. These are especially apparent when turning to the comparative analysis of levels and patterns of homicide in different world regions. While homicide rates are highest in Latin American and African countries, the criminological study of homicide has largely concentrated on Western countries in the past. Similarly, violence in developing countries has caught the interest of political and security scientists. In the tradition

-

⁶ This relates to the Chicago School of Criminology, esp. Sutherland (1940) on white collar crime and A. K. Cohen (1956) on gangs.

⁷ "Research on organized crime offers limited explanations to understanding large-scale organized crime violence as it considers overt criminal violence as an empirical anomaly"

⁸ Meanwhile the crime-terror continuum appears to have devolved into merely a "nexus"

of international relations, however, the focus is mostly on large-scale violence while everyday violence is typically disregarded. This has led to a scattered theoretical framework. As for the criminological study of homicide, it falls short in explaining homicide where it occurs the most. And as for collective violence, it disregards a significant portion of violence that may appear to be "general" but shows more intensity than many officially declared conflicts.

A key aspect in filling this conceptual gap may be to explore the aforementioned loose ends and to further contribute to the opening of criminological thought to transitional and developing contexts. This means to aim for an integrated theoretical framework that goes beyond traditional criminological research interests—an on-going process which relates to international political processes and has been termed "Blue Criminology" (Redo 2012). Besides a strong stream of neo-conservative thinking in international relations, it is noteworthy that such an approach necessarily addresses questions that—far under the radar of policy relevance—were previously covered by somewhat marginalized streams in criminology, i.e. critical, radical or post-colonial criminology. And linking back to Blue Criminology, it touches upon fundamental questions of development, (security) governance and the rule of law that are dealt with in political science. ¹⁰

As for the study of homicide, a contribution can be made by trying to bridge the conceptual gap between homicide and conflict-related killings, or rather violence in interpersonal versus violence in collective settings. This research attempts to do so by examining the links between homicide trends and different forms of collective violence, i.e. warfare and terrorism. How have homicide, warfare and terrorism trends developed over the past decades? Are these trends linked, and if so: How strong is this link, and how can it be explained—Are warfare and terrorism criminogenic? Major attention in addressing these questions shall be given to the implications that findings may bear on the clustering of violence in certain developing countries and world regions. The aim is not to add further to the conceptual blurring of crime and conflict. To the contrary, the main added value that criminology may offer as an essentially interdisciplinary science is to incorporate perspectives on violence from various disciplines—and strive for new approaches to the study of criminal violence that better capture the

-

⁹ Redo coined the term in relation to the idea that over the past century the UN has [successfully] pushed for the world to counter crime globally

¹⁰ To be complete, also psychology and non-criminological sociology of violence shall be mentioned.

differences between the industrialized world and many areas in transitional and developing countries.

This dissertation attempts to do so by providing an empirical analysis of the effects that terrorism and warfare may have on homicide rates. First, the theoretical background and the research questions are outlined in chapters I and II. Chapter III presents the hypotheses and describes the methods applied in this study, namely econometric panel analysis. Data has been drawn from a variety of sources, including the Global Terrorism Database (counts of terror attacks and victims), the Center for Systemic Peace (warfare and major violence magnitude scores), as well as Clio Infra, the WHO, and UNODC (homicide rates). The results from the analyses are presented in chapter IV to VI. Each chapter deals with a specific aspect that is relevant to the dissertation. This includes the development of homicide rates over the past decades and the influence that socioeconomic variables have on homicide trends (Chapter IV); terrorism trends during the past decades, and the effect that terror attacks have on homicide rates (Chapter V); and an overview of warfare and major violence other than warfare during the past decades, and how warfare affects homicide trends (Chapter VI).

The main findings from the dissertation lend support to a positive link between terrorism, warfare, and homicide rates. These findings are discussed in light of their theoretical implications and their policy relevance in Chapter VII.